

# PROJECT MUSE

## A Global Perspective: Reframing the History of Health, Medicine, and Disease

Mark Harrison

Bulletin of the History of Medicine, Volume 89, Number 4, Winter 2015, pp. 639-689 (Article)

Published by Johns Hopkins University Press DOI: 10.1353/bhm.2015.0116



For additional information about this article
http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/bhm/summary/v089/89.4.harrison.html

## A Global Perspective: Reframing the History of Health, Medicine, and Disease

MARK HARRISON

SUMMARY: The emergence of global history has been one of the more notable features of academic history over the past three decades. Although historians of disease were among the pioneers of one of its earlier incarnations—world history—the recent "global turn" has made relatively little impact on histories of health, disease, and medicine. Most continue to be framed by familiar entities such as the colony or nation-state or are confined to particular medical "traditions." This article aims to show what can be gained from taking a broader perspective. Its purpose is not to replace other ways of seeing or to write a new "grand narrative" but to show how transnational and transimperial approaches are vital to understanding some of the key issues with which historians of health, disease, and medicine are concerned. Moving on from an analysis of earlier periods of integration, the article offers some reflections on our own era of globalization and on the emerging field of global health.

KEYWORDS: globalization, imperialism, modernity, global health

For the past two decades, "globalization" has excited great controversy. There are conflicting opinions as to what it is, when it started, and its implications for humanity.<sup>1</sup> Historians came relatively late to these debates but their contributions have been substantial, having helped to define and explain globalization, while providing instructive analogies with the past.<sup>2</sup> Globalization has also had a significant impact on historiography. It has

The author would like to thank the editors for their constructive criticisms of earlier drafts of this article, as well as professors at Peking and Kyung Hee universities for giving him the opportunity to visit hospitals and medical schools of varying types. He also expresses his gratitude to the Wellcome Trust for their support whilst researching this article.

1. A good starting point is D. L. Held, A. G. McGrew, D. Goldblatt, and D. Perraton eds., *Global Transformations: Politics, Economics and Culture* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1999).

2. E.g., A. G. Hopkins, ed., Globalization in World History (London: Pimlico, 2002).

639 Bull. Hist. Med., 2015, 89: 639-689

spawned an entirely new field of enquiry—global history—but while its initial purpose was to comprehend the present, the remit of global history has expanded. Many historians have found a global perspective to be liberating, allowing them to pursue their interests beyond conventional boundaries of time and space.<sup>3</sup> And yet, the "global turn" has its critics. Some claim that history written on such a scale lacks nuance, specificity, and rigor. Others fear that current preoccupations (globalization) will distort our treatment of the past. Differences and divergences may also be neglected in our eagerness to trace connections and shared experiences.<sup>4</sup> Furthermore, it is argued, some global histories have tacitly endorsed the more exploitative aspects of globalization—an accusation recently leveled at some historians of medicine.<sup>5</sup>

But surprisingly few works in the history of health, disease, and medicine can accurately be described as global histories or claim to be such. Most are framed by geopolitical entities such as the nation-state or the constructs we term medical traditions. There is nothing wrong with writing history in this way, but if that is all we do we will miss an opportunity to speak to some of the most pressing issues of our time. A global perspective may also enable us to produce the interpretative syntheses that are such a rarity in our field.<sup>6</sup> This article offers an account of the modern history of health, disease, and medicine that draws inspiration from the field of global history. Its principal aim is to show the relationship of these subjects to global dynamics, chiefly the emergence and development of modern world economies.

## Globalization and Global History

While global history began as a response to globalization, it is no longer defined by it. Most of its practitioners distinguish between their enterprise and the study of globalization, even if the latter is sometimes an object of their research.<sup>7</sup> Moreover, few insist on globality in a strict, geographical sense. They conceive of "the global" in methodological and theoretical

3. See Patrick O'Brien, "Historiographical Traditions and Modern Imperatives for the Restoration of Global History," *J. Global Hist.* 1 (2006): 3–39.

4. See Frederick Cooper, *Colonialism in Question: Theory, Knowledge, History* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2005).

5. Sarah Hodges, "The Global Menace," Soc. Hist. Med. 25 (2012): 719-28.

6. E.g., John V. Pickstone's *Ways of Knowing: A New History of Science, Technology and Medicine* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2000). This is not in any conventional sense a global history, but Pickstone's ideal types are potentially applicable to all modern states and could be useful in certain types of global analysis.

7. See B. K. Gills and W. R. Thompson, eds., *Globalization and Global History* (London: Routledge, 2006).

terms, placing their subjects in frameworks that transcend conventional boundaries.<sup>8</sup> The essential characteristic of global history—if it has one—is that it traces the networks that connect people and places, allowing historians to dispense with rigid compartmentalization. In these respects, global history has much in common with "transnational" or "connected" histories, though the former is obviously limited to periods and places in which nation-states exist.<sup>9</sup> Global history also offers historians a standpoint from which long-term historical processes can be more easily discerned. Historians of health, disease, and medicine have not taken this opportunity as often as they might, and the result has been a historiography that is fragmented and with strong interpretative biases. The analysis of relatively small temporal or geographical units inclines toward histories of singularity and change, whereas more expansive frameworks tend to reveal connections and continuities. Both are clearly necessary, and it is time that the balance is restored.

In its early incarnations, global history concentrated chiefly on globalization and for this reason it was sometimes distinguished from world history, which emphasized the peculiarities of states and civilizations, as well as their internal dynamics of change.<sup>10</sup> But this distinction is no longer valid, if it ever was. World histories *tend* to have a greater geographical and chronological scope than global histories. They are also more inclined to compartmentalize societies and cultures, many studies having been concerned with the "rise" (and demise) of "the West," for example.<sup>11</sup> Yet, most world historians have not allowed their frameworks to become static, and some are just as concerned with connectivity and integration as those who consider themselves globalists.<sup>12</sup> It therefore makes little sense

8. B. Mazlish, "Introduction," in *Conceptualizing Global History*, ed. B. Mazlish and R. Buultjens (Boulder, Colo.: Westview, 1993), 1–26; Regina Grafe, "Turning Maritime History into Global History: Some Conclusions from the Impact of Globalization in Early Modern Spain," in *Research in Maritime History*. *No. 43: Maritime History as Global History*, ed. M. Fusaro and A. Polónia (St. John's, Nfld.: International Maritime Economic History Association, 2010), 249–66.

9. E.g., Sanjay Subrahmanyam, "Connected Histories: Notes towards a Reconfiguration of Early Modern Eurasia," *Mod. Asian Stud.* 31 (1997): 735–62; A. Curthoys and M. Lake, *Connected Worlds: History in Transnational Perspective* (Canberra: ANU Press, 2005).

10. Bruce Mazlish, "Comparing Global History to World History," J. Interdiscip. Hist. 28 (1998): 385–95.

11. E.g., Geoffrey Parker, The Military Revolution: Military Innovation and the Rise of the West, 1500–1800 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1988); William H. McNeill, The Rise of the West: A History of the Human Community (1963; repr., Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1991). Some such histories tend toward essentialism—e.g., Samuel P. Huntington, The Clash of Civilizations and the Remaking of the World Order (New York: Simon & Schuster, 1996).

12. E.g., C. A. Bayly, *The Birth of the Modern World 1780–1914* (Oxford: Blackwell, 2004); J. R. McNeill and William H. McNeill, *The Human Web: A Bird's-Eye View of World History* 

to insist that global history and world history are distinct modes of analysis,<sup>13</sup> especially now that the scope of global history has widened. Many works incorporate elements of both—focusing on points of interaction between global and "local" dynamics, marrying histories of connectivity with histories of structural change. This is very much the approach that I advocate. In what follows I argue that the rise and transmutation of the global market had a profound effect upon health, disease, and medicine, including the ways in which these concepts were understood and evaluated. While the results of these changes have already been considered in studies of individual colonies and nations, we have not sufficiently appreciated the relationship between them and forces that are global in nature.

This article examines global integration over a fairly long time scale, but it is not an attempt to trace the origins of globalization. Some historians do regard such an enterprise as legitimate and claim to find the antecedents of contemporary globalization deep in the past.<sup>14</sup> But the quest for "archaic" or even "ancient" globalization makes me uneasy because it often entails inaccurate parallels with earlier periods. For this reason, I use the term "globalization" to refer only to the decades after 1970. Nevertheless, I recognize that earlier periods do bare some resemblance to globalization, in that one can observe connections that had acquired a degree of stability and affected large numbers of people.<sup>15</sup> It is difficult to summarize these developments, but the process of integration considered in this article originated in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries, in the "expansion" of Europe and the exploitation of a chiefly Afro-American "periphery" by a European "core."

The initial stimulus for this expansion and the subsequent creation of a European "world system" was the quest for additional wealth and manpower following plague and environmental crises in Europe.<sup>16</sup> However, while imperialism was the chief driver of integration, it was not the only one. European expansion was accompanied or preceded by that of other

<sup>(</sup>New York: Norton, 2003); Philip D. Curtin, *Cross-Cultural Trade in World History* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 1984).

<sup>13.</sup> See Jürgen Osterhammel and Neils P. Peterson, *Globalization: A Short History* (Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 2005), 12.

<sup>14.</sup> E.g., Karl Moore and David Charles Lewis, *Origins of Globalization* (New York: Routledge, 2009); D. O. Flynn and A. Giráldez, "Born Again: Globalization's Sixteenth Century Origins (Asian/Global versus European Dynamics)," *Pacific Econ. Rev.* 13 (2008): 359–87.

<sup>15.</sup> Osterhammel and Peterson, Globalization (n. 13), viii.

<sup>16.</sup> Immanuel Wallerstein, *The Modern World System*, 3 vols. (New York: Academic Press, 1974); Wallerstein, *World-Systems Analysis: An Introduction* (Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press, 2004); Stephen K. Sanderson, ed., *Civilizations and World Systems: Studying World-Historical Change* (Walnut Creek, Calif.: AltaMira Press, 1995).

peoples including the Arabs and Chinese.<sup>17</sup> In many parts of Asia, Europeans capitalized their activities with the assistance of such communities, as well as with indigenous merchants and bankers.<sup>18</sup> Similarly, they had to compete with commercial monopolies such as that established by the Ottomans in the Indian Ocean. Forces of integration were also at work beyond the empires of Europe. Although some of the old empires of Asia experienced stagnation and decay, others, like that of the Safavids, were forged anew. Indeed, the majority of people remained subjects of polities that were only tenuously linked to European systems. Confined to small trading concessions on the coasts and major rivers, Europeans had relatively little power or influence.<sup>19</sup> The same was true, of course, of Japan, for it was not until the mid-nineteenth century that it was fully opened to the West.

But European imperialism was a radically disruptive force. During the next hundred years—through to roughly the middle of the nineteenth century—some of the great early modern empires, such as that of the Mughals, were fatally weakened, a process fomented and exploited by Europeans.<sup>20</sup> Simultaneously, European countries such as Britain and the Netherlands enhanced their productive capacity in agriculture and industry, developed new financial institutions such as central banks and stock markets, and experienced a rise in consumer demand that subsequently fueled commercial expansion.<sup>21</sup> European conflicts—like the Seven Years' War (1756–63)—assumed global proportions and provided a further stimulus to empire building. By the nineteenth century, new technologies of communication such as steam propulsion and the electric telegraph enabled disparate colonial possessions to be welded into relatively cohesive empires.<sup>22</sup> Around these, a global economy began to emerge, embracing parts of the world not formally under imperial control.

17. C. A. Bayly, "'Archaic' and 'Modern' Globalization in the Eurasian and African Arena, *c*.1750–1850," in Hopkins, *Globalization in World History* (n. 2), 47–73 at 50.

18. David Washbrook, "India in the Early Modern World Economy: Modes of Production, Reproduction and Exchange," J. Global Hist. 2 (2007): 87–111; Om Prakash, European Commercial Enterprise in Pre-colonial India (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998).

19. Bayly, Birth of the Modern World (n. 12), 27.

20. See P. J. Marshall, ed., *The Eighteenth Century in Indian History: Evolution or Revolution?* (New Delhi: Oxford University Press, 2003); P. J. Marshall, *Bengal: The British Bridgehead: Eastern India, 1740–1828* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1987).

See, e.g., Jan de Vries, *The Industrious Revolution: Consumer Behavior and the Household Economy, 1650 to the Present* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008); Maxine Berg, *Luxury and Pleasure in Eighteenth-Century Britain* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007);
P. J. Cain and A. G. Hopkins, *British Imperialism, 1688–2000* (London: Longman, 2002).

22. Daniel R. Headrick, Power over Peoples: Technology, Environments, and Western Imperialism, 1400 to the Present (Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 2010).

The global dimensions of this new economy are indicated not only by the volume and variety of commercial transactions but by long-distance labor migration and the harmonization of prices for certain commodities.<sup>23</sup> In recognition of their growing interdependence, countries sought agreement on the management of transportation and communications, aiming to reduce the impediments presented by national boundaries.<sup>24</sup> However, the dynamics of global integration were complex, and the growth of international laws and institutions masked increasing competition and rivalry. This was particularly evident in agriculture, where improvements in transportation and new technologies such as refrigeration enabled New World farmers to undercut producers in Europe. Cheap meat and processed foods flowed into European markets, spurring calls for protection. In Europe itself, the rapid rise of Germany intensified imperial rivalry and strengthened those forces that urged self-reliance and trading preferences for their own empires.<sup>25</sup>

These rivalries provided the conditions for a global war in 1914–18, stalling the process of integration and reconfiguring international politics. After the war, a new spirit of internationalism, epitomized by the League of Nations, briefly flourished but soon foundered on political and economic realities.<sup>26</sup> The rise of the Soviet Union, following the revolution of 1917, altered the political landscape and added an ideological dimension to international affairs. The onset of the Great Depression sharpened these divisions, while creating demands for economic protection and self-sufficiency.<sup>27</sup> The rise of Nazi Germany and Japan's pursuit of hegemony in Asia created the conditions for another major conflict, disrupting or severing many of the ties that had formerly existed. The war marked the end of fascist imperial ambitions and hastened the decline of the empires assembled by Britain and France.

23. Ronald Findlay and Kevin O'Rourke, Power and Plenty: Trade, War, and the World Economy in the Second Millennium (Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 2007), chaps. 6–7.

24. Duncan Bell, ed., Victorian Visions of Global Order: Empire and International Relations in Nineteenth-Century Political Thought (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007).

25. Robbie Robertson, *The Three Waves of Globalization: A History of a Developing Global Consciousness* (London: Zed Books, 2004), chap. 7; Findlay and O'Rourke, *Power and Plenty* (n. 23), chap. 8.

26. Erez Manela, The Wilsonian Moment: Self-Determination and the International Origins of Anticolonial Nationalism (New York: Oxford University Press, 2007); Thomas J. Knock, To End All Wars: Woodrow Wilson and the Quest for a New World Order (Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 1995).

27. Jeffry A. Frieden, *Global Capitalism: Its Fall and Rise in the Twentieth Century* (New York: Norton, 2006), chaps. 6–9.

As this period of conflict came to an end, a new phase of integration began. After 1945, a concerted attempt was made to construct a new world order, the most prominent symbol of which was the United Nations. But integration proceeded for the most part within ideological blocs, capitalist and communist, and within them, too, significant divisions emerged. However, other important changes, including decolonization, the rise of multinational corporations, foreign aid, and the emergence of new forms of transnational consumerism, laid the foundations of contemporary globalization. This new era began in the 1970s, when the oil crisis hastened the collapse of "Fordist" methods of production and heavy industries in the West. The Soviet Bloc later began to exhaust its resources in competition with resurgent and ideologically driven Western nations, while the process of dialogue initiated by President Nixon in the early 1970s saw the gradual opening of China and the beginning of market reforms. The fall of the Soviet Bloc in 1989-91 permitted economic integration to proceed apace, harnessing new communication technologies, most obviously the Internet. The revolution in IT also had the effect of altering perceptions of time and space, both of which were compressed.<sup>28</sup> Capital became extraordinarily mobile, and production was organized on a global basis. In tandem with these developments, a new economic doctrine-"neoliberalism"-began to dominate, portraying the state and organized labor as drags on efficiency. The effect was at once liberating and disorientating. Social and cultural forms that had existed for generations were rapidly dissolved, to be replaced by more cosmopolitan habits and trends. This complex, unstable world, marked by cruelly ironic juxtapositions of poverty and wealth, is the one we inhabit today.<sup>29</sup>

In the remainder of this article I show how these successive periods of integration have shaped not only the global contours of disease but the rise and expansion of Western medicine and concepts of health. By the twentieth century, some traditional medicines and medical products also came to be distributed on a global basis. But Western medicine was the chief beneficiary of global integration and arguably still is, even in countries known for their distinctive medical traditions. These developments are considered in four sections. The first three examine disease, medicine, and health up to the late twentieth century, while the last charts the effects of globalization since the 1970s. I have chosen to consider the

<sup>28.</sup> David Harvey, The Condition of Post-modernity: An Enquiry into the Origins of Cultural Change (Oxford: Blackwell, 1989); Anthony Giddens, The Consequences of Modernity (Cambridge: Polity, 1991).

<sup>29.</sup> Arjun Appadurai, "Disjuncture and Difference in the Global Cultural Economy," *Theory Cult. Soc.* 7 (1990): 295–310.

recent past separately because the sources on which my analysis is based are different from those of previous sections. While the first three are able to draw on an abundance of historical scholarship, coverage of the recent past is sketchy, and most of the works cited in the final section are utilized as primary sources to make provisional observations on the consequences of globalization.

## Disease

Pathogens know no borders and lend themselves to histories that are global or transregional in scope, Alfred W. Crosby's Columbian Exchange and William H. McNeill's Plagues and Peoples being some of the best known examples.<sup>30</sup> These monographs made a deservedly large impact, not simply on account of their range but because their subjects were woven into the larger tapestry of history. Their thesis was that disease (and other biological agents in Crosby's case) had shaped the destiny of civilizations, playing a crucial part in such momentous changes as the demise of feudalism and the Iberian conquest of South and Central America. Since then, the field of disease history has become crowded, to say the least. These works are too numerous to mention, and to review them would detract from my argument.<sup>31</sup> However, we need to think more deeply about the ways in which historians of disease have envisaged long-distance connections, what their limitations are and how they might be addressed. In this section I argue that disease histories that fail to take into account structural and ecological change gravely oversimplify the relationship between disease and global integration. As George Rosen once put it, "Nowhere does human disease occur as 'pure nature'; instead it is ever mediated and modified by social activity and the cultural environment which such activity creates."32 We therefore need to find methodologies that help us to understand the complexities of disease transmission and to explain the long-term effects of integration on human and animal health. This means

30. Alfred W. Crosby, *The Columbian Exchange: Biological and Cultural Consequences of 1492* (Westport, Conn.: Greenwood, 1972; Crosby, *Ecological Imperialism: The Biological Expansion of Europe, 900–1900* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1986); William H. McNeill, *Plagues and Peoples* (Garden City, N.Y.: Anchor Press, 1976).

31. For an overview, see J. N. Hays, *The Burdens of Disease: Epidemics and Human Response in Western History* (New Brunswick, N.J.: Rutgers University Press, 2000); Mark Harrison, *Disease and the Modern World: 1500 to the Present Day* (Cambridge: Polity, 2004).

32. George Rosen, "What Is Social Medicine? A Genetic Analysis of the Concept," in his *From Medical Police to Social Medicine: Essays on the History of Health Care* (New York: Science History Publications, 1974); 60–119, quotation on 60.

examining not just the movement of pathogens but the transformation of social and ecological conditions—changes that affected indigenous as well as recently imported infections. Nor should we neglect the affects of global integration upon lifestyles and the diseases arising from changing patterns of work or cultural preferences. These are all important facets of "globalization," today and in centuries past.

The deficiencies of a simple microbe importation model have long been recognized by students of European imperialism, and Crosby and McNeill have often been criticized for their "biological determinism."33 Some have even suggested that they exaggerated the role of disease in historical change, particularly in the collapse of Native American civilizations.<sup>34</sup> Although there is plenty of evidence to support the basic propositions of Crosby and McNeill,<sup>35</sup> the charge of determinism has stuck, and many historians have subsequently stressed the social and political determinants of mortality. For example, the long-term impact of disease on the South Pacific islands has been shown to depend crucially on the nature of imperial rule. Where settler capitalism dominated—in other words, where indigenous peoples were dispossessed of their landspopulations took far longer to recover than in those colonies in which Europeans formed a small managerial elite.<sup>36</sup> But the debate is not quite as polarized as this brief summary suggests. The "determinism" of Crosby and McNeill is often tempered by an acknowledgment that cultural and political factors played some part in population decline.<sup>37</sup> Similarly, most social historians accept that disease has been an important and sometimes transformational historical agent.<sup>38</sup>

33. E.g., David Arnold, "Introduction: Disease, Medicine and Empire," in *Imperial Medicine and Indigenous Societies*, ed. D. Arnold (Manchester: Manchester University Press, 1988), 1–26, quotation on 9.

34. See David E. Stannard, American Holocaust: Columbus and the Conquest of the New World (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1992); Francis J. Brooks, "Revising the Conquest of Mexico: Smallpox, Sources, and Populations," J. Interdiscip. Hist. 24 (1993): 1–29, 15–28.

35. Noble David Cook, Born to Die: Disease and New World Conquest, 1492–1650 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998); Robert McCaa, "Spanish and Nahuatl Views on Smallpox and Demographic Catastrophe in Mexico," in *Health and Disease in Human History: A Journal of Interdisciplinary History Reader*, ed. R. Rotberg (Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, 2000), 167–202.

36. Stephen J. Kunitz, *Disease and Social Diversity: The European Impact on the Health of Non-Europeans* (New York: Oxford University Press, 1994).

37. E.g., A. W. Crosby, "Hawaiian Depopulation as a Model for the Amerindian Experience," in *Epidemics and Ideas: Essays on the Historical Perception of Pestilence*, ed. T. Ranger and P. Slack (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1992), 175–201, at 201.

38. Most obviously, Emmanuel Le Roy Ladurie, "A Concept: The Unification of the Globe by Disease," in his *Mind and Method of the Historian*, trans. Siân Reynolds and Ben Reynolds (Brighton: Harvester, 1981), 28–83.

The real question is where the emphasis should lie: how much weight should be given to pathogens and vectors, and how much to the forces that condition them? The answer depends on the case to be examined, but, in general, we can learn much from the approach taken by John R. McNeill in his recent study of the Caribbean.<sup>39</sup> McNeill argues that the geopolitical history of the region was shaped by its disease ecology but that this environment was itself produced by human action. He shows how pathogens and disease vectors were shipped from Africa to the Americas on slaving vessels and how they became naturalized in environments profoundly altered by the rise of plantation agriculture. Although disease figures prominently in McNeill's account as a force for historical change (and stasis), he stresses the coevolution of the slave-based economies and the biological agents that came to be embedded in them.<sup>40</sup>

McNeill's work indicates how we might go about writing a global history of disease, a history that gives due attention to biological and political factors. But it would be impossible to undertake a similarly fine-grained analysis for a larger area, and so far there has been little appetite for similar research on other regions. Regional studies of disease in Europe are largely confined to medieval visitations of the plague, later known as the Black Death.<sup>41</sup> One reason for this, perhaps, is the reluctance of many historians to indulge in speculation about the identity of diseases in the past—something that is essential to the political-ecological approach favored by McNeill. While there has been a protracted debate about the identity of European plagues in the medieval and early modern periods, this issue has also arisen in respect of the "Great Pox." Some historians regard the use of the term "syphilis" to describe this malady not only as anachronistic but as illegitimate because it implies the existence of a stable entity over many centuries.<sup>42</sup>

If our aim is solely to understand disease as contemporaries did, then its biological identity is relatively unimportant. But the insistence that we must avoid using modern disease categories prevents us from charting the spread of disease or explaining the rise and fall of epidemics and their

<sup>39.</sup> J. R. McNeill, Mosquito Empires: Ecology and War in the Greater Caribbean, 1620–1914 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010).

<sup>40.</sup> The extent of integration in the early modern world is debatable: see Flynn and Giráldez, "Born Again" (n. 14); Jan de Vries, "The Limits of Globalization in the Early Modern World," *Econ. Hist. Rev.*, second ser. 63 (2010): 710–33.

<sup>41.</sup> The most recent and comprehensive study is Ole J. Benedictow's *The Black Death* 1346–1353: *The Complete History* (Woodbridge: Boydell Press, 2004).

<sup>42.</sup> Jon Arrizabalaga, John Henderson, and Roger French, *The Great Pox: The French Disease in Renaissance Europe* (New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Press, 1997).

relationship to economic, political, and environmental changes. These are surely legitimate questions. They are also vital if we are to attempt anything more than a localized study. Otherwise, there would be no reason to place the "French disease" or the "Neapolitan disease" within the same analytical frame, let alone *firangi roga* or any of the other names used to describe syphilis in Asia. If cross-cultural comparisons are to be attempted, or long-distance connections explored, then it is clearly useful to establish the identity of the disease in question. It is not always possible to do so with certainty, but analysis of ancient and modern DNA and stable isotopes is improving rapidly, and there are now many techniques that enable us to determine the existence of plague (as we would understand it) in medieval and early modern Europe and may yet settle other controversial issues such as the origins of the "Black Death," which have been variously traced to China and Central Asia.<sup>44</sup>

Bio-archaeological and paleogenetic techniques will assuredly become important tools for those who wish to write the history of disease from a global or long-term perspective, and will be particularly important where manuscript and other documentary sources are fragmentary or ambiguous. This may be one reason why the disease history of parts of Asia is currently underdeveloped, but it is unlikely to be the only one, for sources relating to epidemics in the Indian subcontinent are relatively abundant and could permit detailed explorations of the relationship among disease, trade, conquest, and environmental change.<sup>45</sup> The same is true of the

43. E.g., Kirsten I. Bos, Verena J. Schuenemann, G. Brian Golding, et al., "A Draft Genome of *Yersinia pestis* from Victims of the Black Death," *Nature* 478 (2011): 506–10; Giovanna Morelli, et al., "*Yersinia Pestis* Genome Sequencing Identifies Patterns of Global Phylogenetic Diversity," *Nature Genet.* 42 (2010): 1140–43.

44. On climate change and plague, see Bruce M. S. Campbell, "Physical Shocks, Biological Hazards, and Human Impacts: The Crisis of the Fourteenth Century Revisited," in *Le interazioni fra economia e ambiente biologico nell'Europe Preindustriale Secc. XIII–XVIII*, ed. S. Cavaciocchi (Prato: F. Datini, 2010), 13–32. On plague in Asia, see George D. Sussman, "Was the Black Death in India and China?, *Bull. Hist. Med.* 85 (2011): 319–55; Angela Ki Che Leung, "Diseases of the Premodern Period in China," in *The Cambridge World History of Human Disease*, ed. K. F. Kiple (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1993), 354–62; Li Bozhong, "Was There a 'Fourteenth-Century Turning Point'? Population, Land, Technology and Farm Management," in *The Song-Yuan-Ming Transition in Chinese History*, ed. P. J. Smith and R. von Glahn (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 2003), 134–75.

45. E.g., "A Voyage Round the World by Dr. John Francis Gernelli Careri, Containing the Most Remarkable Things He Saw in Indostan," in *India in the Seventeenth Century*, vol. 2, ed. J. P. Guha (New Delhi: Associated Publishing House, 1976), 203; *Tuzuk-i-Jahangiri*, ed. Syud Ahmud (Aligharh: Private Press, 1864), 209–10, 219–20. In the case of non-European sources such as the *Tuzuk*, it is important, where possible, to return to the originals: older translations tend to blur the distinction between generic terms for epidemics and references to specific diseases such as plague.

wider Indian Ocean region, identified over twenty years ago as a promising area of study.<sup>46</sup> But there are no Asian counterparts to the Atlantic studies of Alfred W. Crosby and John R. McNeill—a lacuna that presents a major opportunity to scholars with the requisite skills. The historical coverage of most of Africa prior to 1800 is even more limited, although in this case it is the absence of documentation that is largely to blame.<sup>47</sup> Only in the north of the continent—in Ethiopia and the Islamic caliphates—is it possible to determine the origins and impact of disease with accuracy.<sup>48</sup> To date, most of this scholarship has concentrated on ancient and medieval plagues, but recent work on the Ottoman Empire has begun to examine the relationship of disease to environmental change, imperial expansion and commerce in later centuries.<sup>49</sup> Before long, it may be possible to chart the spread of disease through a large swathe of North Africa and Asia during the early modern period, as well as the ways in which environments changed as a consequence of conquest, war, and commerce.

After 1800, the epidemiological contours of Africa and most other parts of the world are more distinct and the scholarship more abundant. But while the geographical coverage is more even, it remains fragmented. Historians have tended to view disease largely within national or colonial borders, their principal intention being to examine tensions within the body politic. In this sense, the historiography of disease in nineteenthcentury Asia and Africa has largely mirrored that of Europe and North America.<sup>50</sup> Most historians have therefore failed to grasp the broader significance of this period. No less a figure than Emmanuel Le Roy Ladurie dismissed the nineteenth century as a mere footnote to the "exchanges" of 1300–1600, a period which, he insisted, has "no parallel before or

46. David Arnold, "The Indian Ocean as a Disease Zone, 1500–1950," South Asia 14 (1991): 1–22.

47. Gwyn Prins, "But What Was the Disease? The Present State of Health and Healing in African Studies," *Past Pres.* 124 (1989): 159–79.

48. William Rosen, Justinian's Flea: Plague, Empire and the Birth of Europe (London: Viking, 2007); Lester K. Little, ed., Plague and the End of Antiquity: The Pandemic of 541–750 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007); Stuart J. Borsch, The Black Death in Egypt and England: A Comparative Study (Austin: University of Texas Press, 2005); Michael W. Dols, The Black Death in the Middle East (Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 1977).

49. Sam White, *The Climate of Rebellion in the Early Modern Ottoman Empire* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011); White, "Rethinking Disease in Ottoman History," *Int. J. Middle East Stud.* 42 (2010): 549–67; Nükhet Varlik, *Plague and Empire in the Early Modern Mediterranean World: The Ottoman Experience, 1347–1600* (New York and Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2015).

50. Works on this subject are too numerous to mention, but for an indicative and influential sample see the essays in Ranger and Slack, *Epidemics and Ideas* (n. 37).

since."<sup>51</sup> This generalization holds true only if we confine our attention to the immediate demographic impact of disease. In other respects, the epidemiological upheavals of the "long nineteenth century" were just as significant. The 1800s saw the greatest redistribution of pathogens the world has ever known. Human, animal, and plant diseases circulated in many directions, with enormous social and political ramifications. This global picture usually appears as a dimly illuminated backdrop to a local or national story. It is therefore necessary to think more deeply about the connections between these apparently disparate events.

In the 1790s, the dawn of this new epidemiological era was heralded by a resurgence of yellow fever in the Caribbean. An epidemic subsequently developed in the western Atlantic amidst the tumult of war and revolution.<sup>52</sup> Spreading northward to the eastern seaboard of America, yellow fever then passed eastward over the Atlantic to reach Europe, seemingly for the first time. For nearly three decades it erupted sporadically but powerfully along the Mediterranean coast, creating havoc in cities such as Cadiz and Barcelona.<sup>53</sup> After an isolated outbreak on Gibraltar in 1828, the disease was absent from Europe for nearly thirty years, before returning again in the 1850s and 1860s—part of a new wave of disease that engulfed the Atlantic region as a whole.<sup>54</sup> These pulses of yellow fever were probably related to fluctuations in climate but were sustained by a combination of colonial warfare and trade. The same is true of plague, which spread from the Middle East in the 1800s and 1810s (as far west as Malta) and later of cholera, which radiated out of South Asia from the 1820s.<sup>55</sup> Other

51. Ladurie, "A Concept" (n. 38), 30.

52. See McNeill, Mosquito Empires (n. 39); David Geggus, Slavery, War, and Revolution: The British Occupation of Saint Domingue, 1793–1798 (Oxford: Clarendon, 1982) chap. 13; J. Worth Estes and B. G. Smith, eds., A Melancholy Scene of Devastation: The Public Response to the 1793 Philadelphia Yellow Fever Epidemic (Philadelphia: College of Physicians of Philadelphia, 1997); P. Sean Taylor, "We Live in the Midst of Death': Yellow Fever, Moral Economy and Public Health in Philadelphia, 1793–1805" (Ph.D. diss., Northern Illinois University, 2001); J. H. Powell, Bring Out Your Dead: The Great Plague of Yellow Fever in Philadelphia in 1793 (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 1949).

53. Mercedes Pascual Artiaga, Fam, malatia I mort: Alacant I la fibre groga de l'any 1804 (Simat de la Valldigna: La Xara, 2000); M. Dolores Gaspar Garcia, "La epidemia de fiebre amarilla que asoló Barcelona en 1821, a través del contenido del manuscrito 156 de la Biblioteca Universitaria de Barcelona," *Gimbernat* 18 (1992): 65–72; M. Cabal, "Medidas adoptadas por la Junta de Sanidad del Principado ante la posible invasión peninsular de la fiebre amarilla existente en Cádiz y Real Isla de León en el siglo XVIII al XIX," *Boletín del Instituto de Estudios Asturianos* 42 (1988): 409–28.

54. William Coleman, Yellow Fever in the North: The Methods of Early Epidemiology (Madison: University of Wisconsin Press, 1987).

55. Christopher Hamlin's *Cholera: The Biography* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2009) is the only historical monograph that considers cholera from a global perspective, although

diseases became prevalent for much the same reasons, their predations aggravated by brutality and dispossession.<sup>56</sup>

As this tumultuous century drew to a close, plague was unleashed from its confines in parts of Asia and North Africa to reach every inhabited continent.<sup>57</sup> More than any previous pandemic, the resurgence of this ancient disease illustrated the perils of global connections and new forms of transportation such as the railway and steamship. As plague was incapable of sustaining itself for longer than forty days in a confined space such as a ship, "sail-age" pandemics were probably restricted to the Old World. But with the advent of steam power, every country was at risk, and it is no coincidence that the 1890s saw a dramatic escalation in the use of the term "pandemic" to describe widespread infectious diseases. Hitherto, the term had rarely been applied to such epidemics, even in the case of cholera. Many diseases regarded as noncontagious had also been referred to as "pandemic," as were aspects of lifestyle and morality. By the early twentieth century, however, the modern meaning of the term had become dominant. This was due in large part to plague but also to two major epidemics of influenza: the first in 1889-93 and the second in 1918-19. These were truly global infections, being oblivious of borders and social rank. A mature telegraph network also made possible the reporting of these outbreaks almost as they happened, producing a sense of dread at influenza's seemingly inexorable progress.58

Much has been written about these pandemics—or at least their local manifestations—but the connections between them are seldom considered. Nor have they been seen in relation to other pathogenic exchanges

it is not a global history in the sense that its primary purpose is to examine connectivity. More in this vein is Projit Bihari Mukharji's "The 'Cholera Cloud' in the Nineteenth-Century 'British World': History of an Object-Without-an-Essence," *Bull. Hist. Med.* 86 (2012): 303–32. National studies of cholera are legion, but some have a regional or continental focus, e.g., Myron Echenberg, *Africa in the Time of Cholera: A History of Pandemics from 1817 to the Present* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011); Peter Baldwin, *Contagion and the State in Europe, 1830–1930* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1999), chap. 3.

<sup>56.</sup> See, e.g., Kunitz, Disease and Social Diversity (n. 36); David Jones, Rationalizing Epidemics: Meanings and Uses of American Indian Mortality Since 1600 (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 2004); Judy Campbell, Invisible Invaders: Smallpox and Other Diseases in Aboriginal Australia 1780–1880 (Carlton: Melbourne University Press, 2002).

<sup>57.</sup> See Myron Echenberg, *Plague Ports: The Global Urban Impact of Bubonic Plague, 1894–1901* (New York: New York University Press, 2007).

<sup>58.</sup> Mark Honigsbaum, "The Great Dread: Cultural and Psychological Impacts and Responses to the 'Russian' Influenza in the United Kingdom, 1889–1893," Soc. Hist. Med. 23 (2010): 299–319; Howard Phillips and David Killingray, eds., The Spanish Influenza Pandemic of 1918–19: New Perspectives (London: Routledge, 2003).

that arose from the global trade in agricultural commodities. Livestock plagues such as rinderpest, rabies, foot and mouth, and East Coast fever,<sup>59</sup> as well as plant infections like the blight that caused the Great Irish Famine,<sup>60</sup> brought hardship and death to millions. Contemporaries saw these phenomena as linked and many regarded the simultaneous spread of cattle plague and cholera as a sign of a world gone awry.<sup>61</sup> It was the enormous variety of pathogens that distinguished this period from earlier epidemiological crises, as did their diverse points of origin. Although most originated in Asia and Europe, some came from elsewhere, including the Americas. The blight that destroyed the Irish potato crop, for instance, was most likely imported into Europe in shipments of guano from Peru; the diseases and pests that devastated European vineyards at the end of the century were also of American origin. Each of these diseases was related to specific patterns of movement, such as those occasioned by trade, war, economic migration, and religious devotion.<sup>62</sup>

59. Karen Brown, Mad Dogs and Meerkats: A History of Resurgent Rabies in Southern Africa (Athens: Ohio University Press, 2011); Saurabh Mishra, "Beasts, Murrains and the Raj: Reassessing Colonial Medicine in India from the Veterinary Perspective, 1860-1900," Bull. Hist. Med. 85 (2011): 587-619; Susan D. Jones, Death in a Small Package: A Short History of Anthrax (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2010); Karen Brown and Daniel Gilfoyle, eds., Healing the Herds: Disease, Livestock Economies, and the Globalization of Veterinary Medicine (Athens: Ohio University Press, 2010); William Beinart and Lotte Hughes, Environment and Empire (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007), chap. 11; Abigail Woods, A Manufactured Plague: The History of Foot-and-Mouth Disease in Britain (London: Earthscan, 2004); Pule Phoofolo, "Face to Face with Famine: The BaSotho and the Rinderpest, 1897-1899," J. South African Stud. 29 (2003): 503-27; Paul Cranefield, Science and Empire: East Coast Fever in Rhodesia and the Transvaal (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1991); Thomas P. Ofcansky, "The 1889-97 Rinderpest Epidemic and the Rise of British and German Colonialism in Eastern and Southern Africa," J. African Stud. 8 (1981): 31-38; Manuel A. Machado, Aftosa: A Historical Survey of Foot-and-Mouth Disease and Inter-American Relations (Albany: State University of New York Press, 1969).

60. James S. Donnelly, *The Great Irish Potato Famine* (Stroud: Sutton, 2001); Andreas Dix, "Phylloxera," in *Encyclopedia of World Environmental History*, ed. S. Krech, J. R. McNeill, and C. Merchant (London: Routledge, 2004), 1002; J. F. M. Clark, *Bugs and the Victorians* (New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Press, 2009).

61. Mark Harrison, *Contagion: How Commerce Has Spread Disease* (New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Press, 2012), 216–18.

62. See, e.g., Mark Harrison, "Disease and World History from 1750," in *The Cambridge World History Volume 7: Production, Destruction and Connection, 1750–Present, Part 1: Structures, Spaces, and Boundary Making*, ed. John McNeill and Kenneth Pomeranz, (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2015), 237–57; Saurabh Mishra, *Pilgrimage, Politics, and Pestilence: The Haj from the Indian Subcontinent, 1860–1920* (New Delhi: Oxford University Press, 2011); M. R. Smallman-Raynor and A. D. Cliff, *War Epidemics: An Historical Geography of Infectious Diseases in Military Conflict and Civil Strife, 1850–2000* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2004); Philip D. Curtin, *Migration and Mortality in Africa and the Atlantic World*,

The most important of these was trade, which expanded massively in scale and scope in the course of the century. To be more specific, most of the century's pandemics and panzootics were consequences of the reorientation of production from local needs toward international markets.<sup>63</sup> Imperialism—and British imperialism in particular—provided the catalyst for these changes and gave them a distinctive character and form. But the ramifications of the new world economy were by no means confined to the imperial powers and their colonies.<sup>64</sup> Around the world, agricultural and industrial production was transformed as states and entrepreneurs began to take advantage of access to a rapidly expanding market. A massive surge in the volume of commodity exports and investments was followed by an unprecedented convergence of prices.<sup>65</sup> Established industries, farms, and communities were swept away by the force of foreign competition and state-driven development.

We cannot understand the full impact of economic integration unless we consider how environments were changed by their incorporation into a global market. Capturing the complexity of these dynamics in a single narrative is formidably difficult, but one way of doing so is to examine a variety of localities in order to determine how ecosystems were altered as they were drawn into a global web. This is, perhaps, most easily achieved by focusing on an industry or type of economic activity rather than any particular disease. Plantation agriculture is well suited to this, for it illustrates both the global migration of pathogens and their sensitivity to social and ecological conditions. As they developed during the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, the sugar plantations of the Atlantic came to rely almost exclusively on African slaves. While slavery lingered on in the

<sup>1700–1900 (</sup>Aldershot: Ashgate, 2001); L. Marks and M. Worboys, eds., *Migrants, Minorities and Health* (London: Routledge, 1997).

<sup>63.</sup> Karl Polanyi, *The Great Transformation: The Political and Economic Origins of Our Time* (1944; repr., Boston: Beacon, 2001).

<sup>64.</sup> C. K. Harley, ed., *The Integration of the World Economy, 1850–1914* (Cheltenham: E. Elgar, 1996); A. J. H. Latham, *The International Economy and the Underdeveloped World, 1865–1914* (London: Croom Helm, 1978).

<sup>65.</sup> E.g., Paul Bairoch, "Globalization Myths and Realities: One Century of External Trade and Foreign Investment," in *States Against Markets: The Limits of Globalization*, ed. R. Boyer and D. Drache (London: Routledge, 1996), 128–42; Jeffrey G. Williamson, "Globalization, Convergence, and History," *J. Econ. Hist.* 56 (1996): 277–306; Williamson, "The Evolution of Global Labor Markets since 1830: Background, Evidence and Hypotheses," *Explor. Econ. Hist.* 32 (1995): 141–96; Alan M. Taylor and Jeffrey G. Williamson, "Convergence in the Age of Mass Migration," *Eur. Rev. Econ. Hist.* 1 (1997): 27–63; Kevin O'Rourke and Jeffrey G. Williamson, "Late-Nineteenth-Century Anglo-American Factor-Price Convergence: Were Heckscher and Ohlin Right?" *J. Econ. Hist.* 54 (1994): 892–916.

American South, it was progressively abolished elsewhere and, by the midnineteenth century, most plantations in the Caribbean and Latin America depended on an influx of cheap labor from overseas. The majority came in the form of indentured workers from South Asia and China, and the same was true of the plantations that came to be established in parts of Southeast Asia and tropical Africa.<sup>66</sup> It was Asia, too, that provided most of the labor to build railways in Africa and North America.

The epidemiological consequences of these migrations have been examined most carefully with respect to the shipment of indentured workers from South Asia to the Caribbean. From these studies, we know that cholera, smallpox, and other diseases often broke out on migrant vessels and that these pathogens were carried to many parts of the world.<sup>67</sup> But migration within states was equally important. In Russia, the abolition of serfdom in the 1860s resulted in an eastward migration of peasants who seized land from nomadic pastoralists. Deprived of the means of subsistence, many former herders had little option but to work on the farms and mines established by the immigrants. There, they encountered malaria, which had recently been brought into the region. Unable to afford relief in the form of quinine, they suffered terribly from the effects of parasitic infection.<sup>68</sup> In British India, too, migration stimulated by the growth of a global economy had a profound effect upon the distribution of pathogens. Indentured laborers were transported to tea plantations in Assam and elsewhere in the Northeast by river, bringing with them a variety of infections including those causing leishmaniasis, known locally as kala-azar.<sup>69</sup>

66. See W. E. Riviere, "Labour Shortage in the British West Indies after Emancipation," J. Caribbean Hist. 4 (1972): 1–30; Walton Look Lai, Indentured Labor, Caribbean Sugar: Chinese and Indian Migrants to the British West Indies, 1838–1918 (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1993); David Northrup, Indentured Labor in the Age of Imperialism, 1834–1922 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995); Madhavi Kale, Fragments of Empire: Capital, Slavery, and Indian Indentured Labor Migration in the British Caribbean (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 1998).

67. Ralph Shlomowitz and John McDonald, "Mortality of Indian Labour on Ocean Voyages, 1843–1917," *Stud. Hist.* 6 (1990): 35–65; Ralph Shlomowitz, *Mortality and Migration in the Modern World* (Aldershot: Variorum, 1996); Laurence Brown and Radica Mahase, "Medical Encounters on the *Kala Pani*: Regulation and Resistance in the Passages of Indentured Indian Migrants, 1834–1900," in *Health and Medicine at Sea, 1700–1900*, ed. D. B. Haycock and S. Archer (Woodbridge: Boydell Press, 2009), 195–212.

68. James L. A. Webb Jr., *Humanity's Burden: A Global History of Malaria* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2009), 115–16.

69. Nandini Bhattacharya, *Contagion and Enclaves: Tropical Medicine in Colonial India* (Liverpool: Liverpool University Press, 2012); Achintya Kumar Dutta, "*Kala-Azar* in Assam: British Medical Intervention and People's Response," in *Maladies, Preventives and Curatives: Debates in Public Health in India*, ed. A. K. Bagchi and K. Soman (New Delhi: Tulika Books, 2005), 15–31.

This insect-borne disease quickly became established in monocultural plantations, in which there were relatively few natural predators.

By the 1880s, as the rail link to Calcutta was nearing completion, Assam also experienced what appears to be its first epidemic of cholera. Cholera was common among the laborers who built the railway, and they were often seen as responsible for infecting new areas.<sup>70</sup> Once introduced, the disease thrived in the insanitary and overcrowded dwellings to be found in the tea gardens. The same was true of the parasitic infection, hookworm. As hookworms are transmitted in feces, the poor sanitation on most plantations meant that the disease became firmly established in many parts of the world. Hookworm diminished the productivity of workers, and it was partly for this reason that it became the target of the Rockefeller Foundation's first campaigns in disease eradication in the American South and later overseas.<sup>71</sup> One focus of the RF's activities was Ceylon (Sri Lanka), hookworm having spread with indentured laborers from South India. Tamil laborers were brought in to work on tea and rubber plantations established by the British, whose brutality and neglect had deterred most of the native Singhalese from seeking employment in them. Conditions in the plantations were so bad that the hookworm infection rate had reached 90 percent by 1916.72

Another way of exploring the impact of the global market on disease is to examine its connections with particular commodities. Commercialized rice production, for example, is closely associated with a number of diseases, one of which is malaria. The relationship between rice cultivation and malaria is extremely complex, much depending upon prior exposure of laborers to infection, the stability of previous transmission patterns, and species of mosquito.<sup>73</sup> Nevertheless, the rising incidence and

70. Ian J. Kerr, *Building the Railways of the Raj, 1850–1900* (New Delhi: Oxford University Press, 1997), 159–61; Ralph Shlomowitz and Lance Brennan, "Mortality and Migrant Labour en route to Assam, 1863–1924," *Indian Econ. Soc. Hist. Rev.* 27 (1990): 313–30.

71. Steven Palmer, Launching Global Health: The Caribbean Odyssey of the Rockefeller Foundation (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2010); John Farley, To Cast Out Disease: A History of the International Health Division of the Rockefeller Foundation, 1913–1951 (New York: Oxford University Press, 2004); Marcos Cueto, Missionaries of Science: The Rockefeller Foundation and Latin America (Bloomington: University of Indiana Press, 1994); John Ettling, The Germ of Laziness: Rockefeller Philanthropy and Public Health in the New South (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1981).

72. Soma Hewa, Colonialism, Tropical Disease and Imperial Medicine: Rockefeller Philanthropy in Sri Lanka (Lanham, Md.: University Press of America, 1995); Hewa, "The Hookworm Epidemic on the Plantations in Colonial Sri Lanka," Med. Hist. 38 (1994): 73–90.

73. For Africa, see Randall Packard, *The Making of a Tropical Disease: A Short History of Malaria* (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2007), 269n6. For South Asia, see Judith L. Richell, *Disease and Demography in Colonial Burma* (Singapore: NUS Press, 2006),

prevalence of malaria in many parts of the world was closely related to the production of rice on a large scale, especially where paddies were fed by newly constructed canals.74 Rice monoculture also resulted in a decline of natural mosquito predators and consequently in terrible epidemics of malaria, such as those that occurred in the highlands of Madagascar in 1878 and 1895.75 The establishment of canal colonies in the Punjab in the late nineteenth century and the irrigation of lands adjacent to the River Indus in the 1920s and 1930s similarly produced a surge in malaria.<sup>76</sup> Nor were such epidemics confined to the colonies. The commercialization of agriculture and the expansion of large-scale rice cultivation in the American Midwest led to malaria becoming a serious problem in southern Illinois, just as it was beginning to decline in older areas of production in the South.<sup>77</sup> Being a highly intensive form of agriculture, rice cultivation depended heavily on migrant labor. Workers traveling to new areas of cultivation often brought with them new strains of parasites or were exposed to infection for the first time. Despite growing awareness of the transmission of malaria at the turn of the twentieth century, the profitability of rice cultivation in countries such as Italy stalled attempts to curb exposure by regulating working hours and improving accommodation.<sup>78</sup> Nor was malaria the only disease associated with commercial rice production. The industrialization of rice farming, especially the process of milling, resulted in the growing prevalence and incidence of the

chap. 6; Heather Bell, Frontiers of Medicine in the Anglo-Egyptian Sudan 1899–1940 (Oxford: Clarendon, 1999), chap. 4; Sheldon Watts, "British Development Policies and Malaria in India 1897–c.1929," Past Pres. 165 (1999): 141–81; Ian Stone, Canal Irrigation in British India: Perspectives on Technological Change in a Peasant Economy (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1984), 144–58.

<sup>74.</sup> See Packard, Making of a Tropical Disease (n. 73); Webb, Humanity's Burden (n. 68).

<sup>75.</sup> S. Laventure, J. Mouchet, S. Blanchy, L. Marrama, et al., "Le riz source de vie et de mort sur les plateaux de Madagascar," *Cahiers d'études et des recherches francophones/Santé* 6 (1996): 79–86.

<sup>76.</sup> Elizabeth Whitcombe, "The Environmental Costs of Irrigation in British India: Waterlogging, Salinity and Malaria," in *Nature, Culture, Imperialism: Essays on the Environmental History of South Asia*, ed. D. Arnold and R. Guha (Delhi: Oxford University Press, 1996), 237–59; Kohei Wakimura, "Famines, Epidemics and Mortality in Northern India," in *Local Agrarian Societies in Colonial India: Japanese Perspectives*, ed. P. Robb, K. Sugihara, and H. Yanagisawa (London: Curzon Press, 1996), 280–310; Mark Harrison, "Disease and the Dilemmas of Development: A Malaria Strategy for Bombay, 1902–42" (8th Hasi Majumdar Oration on History and Philosophy of Medicine and Science, University of Calcutta, March 22, 2011), 1–42.

<sup>77.</sup> Erwin H. Ackerknecht, Malaria in the Upper Mississippi Valley, 1760–1900 (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1945).

<sup>78.</sup> Frank M. Snowden, *The Conquest of Malaria: Italy, 1900–1962* (New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Press, 2006), 25–26.

deficiency disease beriberi. Milling reduced the vitamin-rich husk and in many parts of East, South, and Southeast Asia, populations unable to find the vitamin in other items of diet began to suffer severely.<sup>79</sup> These examples are intended to show how one might explore long-distance connections without concentrating on the more obvious candidates-the nineteenth century's great pandemics. Other methods or diseases could serve this purpose equally well. Tuberculosis, for instance, came to prominence as a disease of the industrializing West but later became prevalent elsewhere; not primarily because of infection by Europeans but because of rapid economic change. In Britain, the highest rates of tuberculosis were often in areas in which coal and other forms of mining were the principal sources of employment. The high incidence of diseases such as pneumoconiosis and silicosis probably predisposed miners to develop tubercular infections.<sup>80</sup> However, "pulmonary consumption," the nearest contemporary analogue to the disease tuberculosis, was effectively excluded from the purview of the early public health movement in Britain, as were many other diseases that stemmed directly from working conditions. Though it was the principal cause of death, consumption was regarded by Edwin Chadwick as a disease whose causes were too complex to fall within the remit of his enquiry into the health of the laboring poor.<sup>81</sup> It consequently took many years for tuberculosis to be established as an occupational disease, despite its intimate relationship with industries such as mining.<sup>82</sup>

Cramped and poorly ventilated conditions in factories and mines, as well as in workers' accommodations, were conducive to the spread of tuberculosis and when these industries relied heavily on migrant labor the problem was magnified. This pattern was evident in South Africa from the 1870s, as mining developed rapidly in Kimberley and on the Rand.<sup>83</sup>

79. Alexander R. Bay, Beriberi in Modern Japan: The Making of a National Disease (Rochester, N.Y.: Rochester University Press, 2012); David Arnold, "British India and the 'Beriberi Problem' 1798–1942," Med. Hist. 54 (2010): 295–314; Kenneth J. Carpenter, Beriberi, White Rice, and Vitamin B: A Disease, a Cause, and a Cure (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2000); Lenore Manderson, Sickness and the State: Health and Illness in Colonial Malaya, 1870–1940 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996), 89–92.

80. Linda Bryder, "Tuberculosis, Silicosis, and the Slate Industry in North Wales 1927–1939," in *The Social History of Occupational Health*, ed. P. Weindling (London: Croom Helm, 1985), 108–26, at 108.

81. Christopher Hamlin, Public Health and Social Justice in the Age of Chadwick: Britain, 1800–1854 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998), 174.

82. Arthur McIvor, "Germs at Work: Establishing Tuberculosis as an Occupational Disease in Britain, *c*.1900–1951," *Soc. Hist. Med.* 25 (2012): 812–29.

83. Randall Packard, White Plague, Black Labor: Tuberculosis and the Political Economy of Health and Disease in South Africa (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1989).

The same was true of India, where disease spread from industrial centers as laborers returned to their villages. In both cases, the contrast with the preindustrial past was striking. Tuberculosis was scarcely acknowledged as a problem affecting Indians until the 1840s but by the end of the century it was rampant in the cotton towns of Western India and the jute manufactories of Bengal. Over the course of the next half century, mortality from tuberculosis and other respiratory diseases rose sharply as deaths from other common infections declined. Nevertheless, it took a long time for the problem to be recognized and it was forced onto the official agenda only through the efforts of Indians trained in public health. The outbreak of the First World War, which saw colonial troops and labor corps mixing with soldiers from the West, caused tuberculosis to spread even more widely. European doctors, whose countries were now experiencing a decline in tuberculosis, began to regard the disease as rite of passage through which all industrializing societies must pass. In their view, it was part of the price to be paid for the advance of civilization.<sup>84</sup>

The circulation of pathogens through the new global economy and, later, during the war presented great difficulties, the most obvious of which was how to regulate the flow of people and commodities without disrupting either the economy or the war effort. As far as labor was concerned, the peacetime balance tilted in favor of movement, as health checks were often cursory and seldom posed much of an obstacle to immigration.<sup>85</sup> In wartime, the stakes were higher and the controls more stringent.<sup>86</sup> The case of trade was different again. Quarantine and sanitary embargoes were established means of controlling the spread of infectious disease, but nations were regularly embroiled in disputes over the legitimacy of such measures, some of which devastated their economies.<sup>87</sup> By the start

85. Chan E. S. Choenni, "From Bharat to Sri Ram Desh: The Emigration of Indian Indentured Labourers to Suriname," in *The South Asian Diaspora: Transnational Networks and Changing Identities*, eds. R. Rai and P. Reeves (London: Routledge, 2009), 108–23; Amy Fairchild, *Science at the Borders: Immigrant Medical Inspection and the Shaping of the Modern Industrial Labor Force* (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2003).

86. Mark Harrison, *The Medical War: British Military Medicine in the First World War* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010); Paul Weindling, *Epidemics and Genocide in Eastern Europe*, 1890–1945 (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000).

87. See, e.g., Valeska Huber, "The Unification of the Globe by Disease? The International Sanitary Conferences on Cholera, 1851–1894," *Hist. J.* 49 (2006): 453–76; Mark Harrison, "Disease, Diplomacy and International Commerce: The Origins of International Sanitary Regulation in the Nineteenth Century," *J. Global Hist.* 1 (2006): 197–217; Harrison, "Quarantine, Pilgrimage and Colonial Trade: India 1866–1900," *Indian Econ. Soc. Hist. Rev.* 29 (1992): 117–44.

<sup>84.</sup> Mark Harrison and Michael Worboys, "A Disease of Civilisation: Tuberculosis in Britain, Africa and India, 1900–39," in Marks and Worboys, *Migrants, Minorities and Health* (n. 62), 93–124.

of the twentieth century, however, a new strategy had been devised, relying more on surveillance and preemption than the prohibition of movement.<sup>88</sup> This was one of the tasks of newly established organizations such as the Pan American Health Organization and the Office Internationale d'Hygiène Publique.<sup>89</sup> However, agricultural diseases remained a problem, for the measures taken to prevent their spread had a greater impact on the economies of afflicted nations. The depression of the late nineteenth century and increasing transportation of bulky commodities such as animals, meat, and grain sparked trade wars in which the supposed risk of infection figured prominently.<sup>90</sup>

A more difficult question was how to temper development in the interests of public health. The balance was struck differently in different places: in some, considerations of health were subsumed by the pursuit of profit, especially where labor was bonded, cheap, and plentiful.<sup>91</sup> But usually health and productivity were not mutually exclusive. Disease affected productivity after all, and this was increasingly recognized during the twentieth century as more attention was paid to the health of the workforce.<sup>92</sup> But the relationship between health and productivity often depended on racial considerations and on the extent to which industries faced labor shortages. It was not until the 1930s that South African mining officials became seriously concerned about the health of their African

88. Harrison, Contagion (n. 61), 122–38, 196–210; Jürgen Osterhammel, Die Verwandlung der Welt: Eine Geschichte des 19. Jarhhunderts (Munich: C.H. Beck, 2009), 293–94.

89. Sylvia Chiffoleau, Genèse de la santé publique internationale: De la peste d'Orient à l'OMS (Rennes: Presses Universitaire de Rennes, 2012); Céline Paillette, "De l'Organisation d'hygiène de la SDN à l'OMS: mondialisation et regionalism européen dans le domaine de la santé, 1919–1954," Bulletin de l'Institut Pierre Renouvin 32 (2010): 238–53; Marcos Cueto, The Value of Health: A History of the Pan American Health Organization (Washington, D.C.: Pan American Health Organization, 2007); Anne Rasmussen, "L'hygiène en congrès (1852–1912): circulation et configurations internationales," in Les hygiénistes, enjeux, modèles et pratiques, ed. P. Bourdelais (Paris: Belin, 2001), 213–39.

90. C. Knick Harley, "Steers Afloat: The North Atlantic Meat Trade, Liner Predominance, and Freight Rates, 1870–1913," *J. Econ. Hist.* 68 (2008): 1028–58; Suellen Hoy and Walter Nugent, "Public Health or Protectionism? The German-American Pork War, 1880–1891," *Bull. Hist. Med.* 63 (1989): 198–224; John L. Gignilliat, "Pigs, Politics and Protection: The European Boycott of American Pork, 1879–1891," *Agric. Hist.* 35 (1961): 3–12.

91. Radica Mahase, "Health and Medical Care of Indian Indentured Labourers in Trinidad: Imperialist Contradictions, 1870–1917," *Global South:* Sephis 5 (2009): 14–19.

92. See, e.g., Shubo Basu, "The Emergence of the Mill Towns in Bengal, 1880–1920: Migration Patterns and Survival Strategies of Industrial Workers," *Calcutta Hist. J.* 18 (1996): 97–133; Margaret Jones, "The Indian Immigrant Worker and the Development of Hospital Provision in Nineteenth-Century Ceylon," in *From Western Medicine to Global Medicine: The Hospital Beyond the West*, ed. M. Harrison, M. Jones, and H. Sweet (New Delhi: Orient Black-Swan, 2009), 33–66.

workers, for example. Only at that point did they began to realize that the supply of black labor was finite, whereas recognition of the need to protect the health of white workers, whose numbers were more restricted, came considerably earlier.<sup>93</sup>

Before moving on to examine medicine, I wish to reiterate the main point of the discussion so far: that thinking globally about disease means more than considering the movement of pathogens or even relative immunity. Disease is a powerful agent of change, but it never operates in a vacuum and to regard it is a force of globalization is simplistic.<sup>94</sup> It is necessary to identify the dynamics that forge pathogenic connections and that simultaneously transform the social and natural ecologies into which pathogens and vectors are introduced. Only in this way can we account for the differential impact of disease and its longer term consequences. It is important to remember that the nineteenth century saw the emergence of markedly different regimes of mortality, despite the global circulation of pathogens. From the middle of the century, public health interventions and improved nutrition resulted in falling death rates in many Western countries, while mortality rates in their colonies remained stable or increased.<sup>95</sup> The legacy of this divergence is, of course, still much in evidence.<sup>96</sup> To put it another way, those countries and social classes that were able to exploit global connections flourished and benefitted from advanced systems of public health and health care. This included not only Europe and North America but also some of their dominions, such as New Zealand, which grew rich as a result of long-distance trade in agricultural commodities. By the early 1900s, New Zealand was well on the way to becoming a social laboratory that others would emulate.<sup>97</sup> But those who

94. E.g., Pamela Crossley, What Is Global History? (Cambridge: Polity, 2007); Ladurie, "A Concept" (n. 38).

95. The mortality decline that began in industrialized nations in the later nineteenth century has been the subject of much debate between those who stress state intervention and improved nutrition, respectively. Both are indicated to varying extents in relation to different causes of mortality and tend to be emphasized at different times according to the political context in which such debates occur. See Michael Worboys, "Before McKeown: Explaining the Decline of Tuberculosis in Britain, 1880–1930," in *Tuberculosis Then and Now*, ed. F. Condrau and M. Worboys (Montreal: McGill-Queens University Press, 2010), 148–70. The most recent synthesis of this literature is Roderick Floud, Robert W. Fogel, Bernard Harris, and Sok Chul Hong, *The Changing Body: Health, Nutrition, and Human Development in the Western World since 1700* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011).

96. Paul Farmer, Infections and Inequalities: The Modern Plagues (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1999).

97. Lloyd Cox, "The Antipodean Social Laboratory, Labour and the Transformation of the Welfare State," J. Sociol. 42 (2006): 107–24.

<sup>93.</sup> Packard, White Plague, Black Labor (n. 83).

were driven into penury or forced to seek work as migrant laborers saw a decrease in their standard of living and state of health. These refugees from forest and field—concentrated in the European colonies—were the losers of the new global system, much as their counterparts today.<sup>98</sup>

## Medicine

One characteristic of historical scholarship over the past two decades has been its sensitivity to different voices and experiences. From this standpoint, the rise of Western medicine is not the only story worth telling, and to write a global history of medicine solely from that perspective would be unacceptable to many.<sup>99</sup> This remains one of the greatest challenges facing our field, for general histories of medicine have concentrated almost exclusively on the Western medical tradition.<sup>100</sup> Although Henry Sigerist devoted a large section of the second volume of his unfinished multivolume history to ancient India and Persia, this appears to have been a prelude to the early history of the Western tradition, much as in the earlier work of Garrison, for example.<sup>101</sup> Conscious of the neglect of other traditions, Roy Porter devoted two chapters to Indian and Chinese medicine respectively in *The Greatest Benefit to Mankind*. Yet these chapters sit rather uneasily with the others, which are devoted to Western medicine through the ages and in various manifestations.<sup>102</sup>

It is probably impossible to give equal weight to all medical traditions in a single volume, and to do so would require a breadth of scholarship far beyond the majority of historians. Such an ambitious undertaking might be feasible as a collective enterprise, but a more expedient approach for the lone scholar would be to examine interactions between medical cultures over a shorter time span. Even then, one encounters a multitude of

100. E.g., Fielding H. Garrison, *An Introduction to the History of Medicine* (Philadelphia: Saunders, 1917); Erwin H. Ackerknecht, *A Short History of Medicine* (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1968).

101. Henry Sigerist, A History of Medicine: Volume II: Early Greek, Hindu, and Persian Medicine (New York: Oxford University Press, 1987).

102. Roy Porter, *The Greatest Benefit to Mankind: A Medical History of Humanity from Antiquity to the Present* (London: HarperCollins, 1997), chaps. 6 and 8.

<sup>98.</sup> See, e.g., Packard, Making of a Tropical Disease (n. 73).

<sup>99.</sup> Warwick Anderson, "Postcolonial Histories of Medicine," in *Locating Medical History: The Stories and Their Meanings*, ed. F. Huisman and J. H. Warner (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2004), 285–306. More generally, see Dipesh Chakrabarty, *Provincializing Europe: Postcolonial Thought and Historical Difference* (Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 2000); D. Ghosh and D. Kennedy, eds., *Decentring Empire: Britain, India and the Transcolonial World* (Hyderabad: Orient Longman, 2006).

problems, not the least of which is deciding when to begin. Dates that are significant for one tradition are not necessarily significant for another. Deciding where to begin is no easier. As late as 1800, Western medicine was not as widely diffused as *tibb*, a descendent of ancient Greco-Roman medicine that was practiced widely throughout the Islamic world.<sup>103</sup> A global history of modern medicine might just as well commence in the courts of Constantinople or Bukhara as in the medical schools of Europe.

My task in this article is fortunately less ambitious, for it is to examine the impact on health and medicine of the global market. Until the turn of the twentieth century, this was most clearly evident in the rise of Western medicine, and any general study has to acknowledge that fact. Other medical traditions lacked the expansionist and hegemonic aspirations of Western medicine and even the most widely disseminated—tibb—adapted to those localities into which it had spread. Other medical cultures (such as ayurveda) made a virtue of locality and of their organic relationship with places and peoples. Western medicine was different because its truth claims were universal and because it was bound closely to ideas of progress and reform.

Modern "Western" medicine (the term was not widely used until the twentieth century) is normally thought to have emerged in Europe, or more specifically in Paris, at the end of the eighteenth century.<sup>104</sup> However, its origins were far more diverse and are to be found outside Europe as well as within it. The expansion of long-distance commerce fostered an empirical sensibility in Europe and its Asian and American colonies, and this contributed to the emergence of new forms of knowledge.<sup>105</sup> European merchants began to cultivate and exploit a cosmopolitan trade

103. See, e.g., Miri Shefer-Mossensohn, Ottoman Medicine: Healing and Medical Institutions, 1500–1700 (Albany: State University of New York Press, 2009); Seema Alavi, Islam and Healing: Loss and Recovery of an Indo-Muslim Medical Tradition, 1600–1900 (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2008).

104. For different interpretations of the (European) origins of Western medicine, see Othmar Keel, L'Avènement de la Médicine Clinique Moderne en Europe: 1750–1815: Politiques, Institutions et Savoirs (Montreal: Presses de l'Université de Montréal, 2001); A. La Berge and C. Hannaway, eds., Constructing Paris Medicine (Amsterdam: Rodopi, 1998); W. F. Bynum, Science and the Practice of Medicine in the Nineteenth Century (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994); Michel Foucault, The Birth of the Clinic: An Archaeology of Medical Perception, trans. A. M. Sheridan (London: Routledge, 1997); Erwin H. Ackerknecht, Medicine at the Paris Hospital, 1794–1848 (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1967).

105. Pratik Chakrabarti, Materials and Medicine: Trade, Conquest and Therapeutics in the Eighteenth Century (Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2010); Harold J. Cook, Matters of Exchange: Commerce, Medicine, and Science in the Dutch Golden Age (New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Press, 2007); Steven J. Harris, "Long-Distance Corporations, Big Sciences, and the Geography of Knowledge," Configurations 6 (1998): 269–304.

in medicaments as well as actively engaging in a search for new botanical remedies. Not all of these items were adopted for use in Europe, but the opening of Asia and the conquest of the Americas enabled Europe's therapeutic repertoire to expand.<sup>106</sup> The colonies also afforded great opportunities for Europeans who wished to investigate diseases and their relationship to the natural world, as well as to view their appearances postmortem. Similar opportunities were not available in Europe until the early nineteenth century, but ideas and practices from the colonies began to filter through to Europe before that, contributing to a growing clamor for reform.<sup>107</sup> Modern (Western) medicine, then, was spread through not only channels of global interaction, but was also, in some measure, their offspring. Centers of innovation in Europe existed in a dynamic relationship with one another and with the colonies, linked by networks of long-distance trade, correspondence, and a plethora of new medical journals. Medicaments and dried plant specimens (sometimes accompanied by relevant literature) followed routes that originated within but ultimately expanded beyond imperial networks.<sup>108</sup> We are only just becoming aware of the scope and importance of these connections, but they suggest the need to revise conventional, Eurocentric accounts of the rise of modern medicine.

After modern "Western" medicine became established at the turn of the nineteenth century, its champions were at great pains to distance themselves from vestiges of "superstition" in their own past and what they perceived as such in other traditions. Their assertiveness reflected

106. See, e.g., Harold J. Cook and Timothy D. Walker, "Circulation of Medicine in the Early Modern Atlantic World," *Soc. Hist. Med.* 26 (2013): 337–51 (and other articles in the same issue); Londa Schiebinger, *Plants and Empire: Colonial Bioprospecting in the Atlantic World* (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 2004); L. Schiebinger and C. Swan, eds., *Colonial Botany: Science, Commerce, and Politics in the Early Modern World* (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2005); Richard Grove, "Indigenous Knowledge and the Significance of South-West India for Portuguese and Dutch Constructions of Tropical Nature," *Mod. Asian Stud.* 30 (1996): 121–43; M. N. Pearson, "The Thin End of the Wedge: Medical Relativities as a Paradigm of Early Modern Indian-European Relations," *Mod. Asian Stud.* 29 (1996): 141–70; Mark Harrison, "Medicine and Orientalism: Perspective on Europe's Encounter with Indian Medical Systems," in *Health, Medicine and Empire: Perspectives on Colonial India*, ed. B. Pati and M. Harrison (Hyderabad: Orient Longman, 2001), 37–87; Hans Pols, "European Physicians and Botanists, Indigenous Herbal Medicine in the Dutch East Indies, and Colonial Networks of Mediation," *East Asian Sci. Technol. Soc.* 3 (2009): 173–208.

107. Mark Harrison, Medicine in an Age of Commerce and Empire: Britain and Its Tropical Colonies, 1660–1830 (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010).

108. Mark Harrison, "The Calcutta Botanic Garden and the Wider World, 1817–46," in *Science and Modern India: An Institutional History, c.1784–1947*, ed. U. Das Gupta (Delhi: Pearson Longman, 2011), 235–53.

newfound confidence in the experimental sciences (which had begun to have an impact on medicine), but it had an ideological dimension, too, and this acquired its sharpest definition outside of Europe and North America. It was here, from the 1820s and 1830s, that medicine, like other forms of science, was projected as a civilizing influence, attaining greater prestige against a backdrop of "ignorance" and "unthinking tradition."109 But Western medicine was far from monolithic. One obvious difference was between missionary medicine-with its conflation of science and faith-and the more systematized medicine practiced in major hospitals.<sup>110</sup> Even within state institutions there were significant variations in the practice and character of Western medicine as experienced in day-to-day encounters in small dispensaries and clinics.<sup>111</sup> To varying degrees it was adapted and taken up by non-Western peoples, reflecting their preoccupations and cultural preferences as much as government policies.<sup>112</sup> Furthermore, hospitals and medical schools in parts of Asia, Africa, and the Americas were pioneering concepts and techniques that became popular elsewhere, including established centers of learning in Europe. During the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, the crossover was most evident in the fields of bacteriology, parasitology, and public health. Much of the pioneering research on malaria and cholera-still very much European diseases-was done using personnel and medical facilities in the colonies.<sup>113</sup> The colonies also provided fertile soil for innovation in psychiatric medicine, and some practices devised overseas were later adopted in Europe.<sup>114</sup>

109. Michael Adas, Machines as the Measure of Men: Science, Technology, and Ideologies of Western Dominance (Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press, 1989).

110. The best introduction to missionary medicine is David Hardiman, ed., *Healing Bodies, Saving Souls: Medical Missions in Asia and Africa* (Amsterdam: Rodopi, 2006).

111. See Harrison, Jones, and Sweet, From Western Medicine to Global Medicine (n. 92).

112. See, e.g., L. Monnais and H. J. Cook, eds., *Global Movements, Local Concerns: Medicine and Health in Southeast Asia* (Singapore: NUS Press, 2012); Laurence Monnais, "'Modern Medicine' in French Colonial Vietnam: From the Importation of a Model to Its Nativisation," in *The Development of Modern Medicine in Non-Western Countries*, ed. H. Ebrahimnejad (London: Routledge, 2009), 127–59; Projit Mukharji, *Nationalizing the Body: The Medical Market, Print and Daktari Medicine* (London: Anthem, 2009); Gyan Prakash, *Another Reason: Science and the Imagination of Modern India* (Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 1999).

113. Julyan G. Peard, Race, Place and Medicine: The Idea of the Tropics in Nineteenth-Century Brazilian Medicine (Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press, 1999); Douglas M. Haynes, Imperial Medicine: Patrick Manson and the Conquest of Tropical Disease (Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press, 2001); Warwick Anderson, Colonial Pathologies: American Tropical Medicine, Race, and Hygiene in the Philippines (Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press, 2006).

114. Richard C. Keller, *Colonial Madness: Psychiatry in French North Africa* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2007).

By the end of the nineteenth century, Western medicine was thoroughly international. There were flows and counterflows of ideas, people, and practices, following the contours of the new global economy. Some took familiar pathways, but others—like those resulting in international medical congresses—were novel and exhibited a strong commitment to progress.<sup>115</sup> But why was it that Western medicine came to be so widely disseminated and highly valued?

For a long time, the answer appeared to be simple: Western medicine was self-evidently superior, and people came to prefer it to less effective local remedies. But while certain drugs and procedures such as vaccination against smallpox had enormous potential, it took some time for this to be realized due to technical and other practical constraints, let alone the cultural and political obstacles that impeded their introduction.<sup>116</sup> Some historians have therefore sought an explanation in the symbolic role of Western medicine in modernizing states, particularly colonial ones. Backed by the power and resources of government, they argue, Western medicine came to enjoy immense prestige while other traditions were relegated to a position of inferiority.<sup>117</sup> There is some truth in this, but one ought not to push the argument too far. Many indigenous traditions were able to survive and even to thrive throughout the nineteenth century.<sup>118</sup> This was true not only of colonial societies but also of some independent countries that regarded Western medicine as a tool of modernization. While older medical traditions were obliterated in Japan, for instance,<sup>119</sup> they were fostered alongside newer ones in the Ottoman Empire, Oajar Iran, and some of the "Princely States" of India.<sup>120</sup> Western medicine

115. Deborah J. Neill, Networks in Tropical Medicine: Internationalism, Colonialism, and the Rise of a Medical Speciality, 1890–1930 (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 2012).

116. Sanjoy Bhattacharya, Mark Harrison, and Michael Worboys, *Fractured States: Small-pox, Public Health and Vaccination Policy in British India, 1800–1947* (New Delhi: Orient Longman, 2005).

117. Anil Kumar, Medicine and the Raj: British Medical Policy in India, 1835–1911 (New Delhi: Sage, 1998), 17–22.

118. Poonam Bala, ed., *Biomedicine as a Contested Site: Some Revelations in Imperial Contexts* (Lanham, Md.: Lexington Books, 2009); M. Sutphen and B. Andrews, eds., *Medicine and Colonial Identity* (London: Routledge, 2003); A. Cunningham and B. Andrews, eds., *Western Medicine as Contested Knowledge* (Manchester: Manchester University Press, 1997).

119. John Z. Bowers, When the Twain Meet: The Rise of Western Medicine in Japan (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1980); Ming-Cheug Lo, Doctors within Borders: Profession, Ethnicity, and Modernity in Colonial Taiwan (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2002); Aya Takahashi, The Development of the Japanese Nursing Profession: Adopting and Adapting Western Influences (London: Routledge Curzon, 2004); M. Low, ed., Building a Modern Japan: Science, Technology, and Medicine in the Meiji Era and Beyond (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2005).

120. Ebrahimnejad, Development of Modern Medicine (n. 112).

ultimately gained the upper hand in most of these countries because its impact was magnified by the near ubiquitous presence of Western pharmaceuticals, some marketed globally, others locally or regionally. In the late nineteenth century, most countries were transformed by the economic forces described in the last two sections. The commercialization of agriculture, industrialization, urbanization, and labor migration placed many more people in contact with Western medicine and its products. Medicines and medical services were widely advertised and available in shops and in the workplace through numerous vendors and practitioners. This encouraged many people to experiment with a range of therapeutic alternatives to traditional remedies. "Doctors" and druggists without formal qualifications traded in streets and bazaars, offering Western medicine at a price that many could afford. Those who had invested considerable time and money in a medical education were acutely aware that they were being undercut by cheaper, unlicensed competitors and began to demand the registration of medical practitioners. Legislation like that which had been enacted in Europe and North America was increasingly passed in other parts of the world. By 1914, for example, all provinces of British India had introduced a Medical Registration Act modeled on the one passed in Britain in 1858.121

This legislation prevented unqualified people from calling themselves doctors, but it did little to curb the activities of irregular practitioners who peddled a bewildering array of patent medicines. Although most of these remedies offered little more than hope, there were enough reasonably effective drugs on the market (quinine tablets for example) to sustain the growing belief in the efficacy of Western medicine. The process by which this new medicine came to be embedded in Asian and African countries was not dissimilar to what had happened in Europe a century earlier. Then, an influx of exotic drugs such as fever bark (from which quinine was later synthesized) and newly discovered indigenous ones such as willow bark (from which Aspirin was later derived) boosted confidence in medicine while fueling a burgeoning market in patent remedies. That the boom in pharmaceuticals and advertising paved the way for the dominance of Western medicine is also indicated by the response of many traditional healers. They recognized that they could compete effectively with Western medicine only by employing similar tactics: by commodifying their drugs and taking advantage of new print media to advertise their products. As we shall see in the final section, practitioners

<sup>121.</sup> Mark Harrison, Public Health in British India: Anglo-Indian Preventive Medicine, 1859– 1914 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994), 17.

of ayurveda, tibb, and Chinese medicine used these methods not only to survive but ultimately to extend their influence beyond local markets.<sup>122</sup>

### Health

At first sight, health would appear to be an unpromising subject for global history. Its subjective nature lends itself to the investigation of difference rather than shared or connected experiences. And yet, it is possible to think globally about health in a way that acknowledges difference and change over time. Over the past two hundred years, conceptions of health have been transformed as a result of insights from immunology, physiology, psychology, and other sciences. Health has come to be regarded not simply as the absence of disease but as a state of optimal fitness or wellbeing. This conception of health has provided scope for self-realization, but it has also been deliberately encouraged and manipulated.<sup>123</sup> In the early twentieth century, a cluster of ideas began to form in which health was linked to civic obligations. Citizens were encouraged to think about their collective and national responsibilities, and many aspects of their lives came to be regulated in the name of public health.<sup>124</sup> Beginning in the West, these ideas soon spread to other parts of the world. New institutions such as medical colleges, modern hospitals, and dispensaries played an important part in this, but no less important were the media through which the public sphere was constituted. Newspapers and journals of all types and in myriad languages popularized Western notions of health and hygiene through their articles, editorials, and advertisements.

122. Madhuri Sharma, Indigenous and Western Medicine in Colonial India (Delhi: Foundation Books, 2011); Kavita Sivaramakrishnan, Old Potions, New Bottles: Recasting Indigenous Medicine in Colonial Punjab, 1850–1945 (Hyderabad: Orient Longman, 2006); Guy Attewell, Refiguring Unani Tibb: Plural Healing in Late Colonial India (Hyderabad: Orient Longman, 2007).

123. See, e.g., Ina Zweiniger-Bargielowska, Managing the Body: Beauty, Health and Fitness in Britain, 1880–1939 (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2010); Lucinda McCray Beier, For Their Own Good: The Transformation of English Working-Class Health Culture, 1880–1970 (Columbus: Ohio State University Press, 2008); Michael Hau, The Cult of Health and Beauty in Germany: A Social History, 1890–1930 (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2003); Klaus Bergdolt, Wellbeing: A Cultural History of Healthy Living (Cambridge: Polity, 1999); James C. Whorton, Crusaders for Fitness: The History of American Health Reformers (Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 1982).

124. Dorothy Porter, Health Citizenship: Essays in Social Medicine and Biomedical Politics (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2011); Porter, Health, Civilization and the State: A History of Public Health from Ancient to Modern Times (London: Routledge, 1999); David Armstrong, Political Anatomy of the Body: Medical Knowledge in Britain in the Twentieth Century (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983).

The pills and tonics advertised in the pages of popular journals were the material artifacts of a new culture of health that blended imported, Western perspectives with local ones.<sup>125</sup> They were accompanied by a variety of soaps, cosmetics, and cleaning materials, whose implicit messages were made explicit through formal education and propaganda in schools, films, posters, and lectures.<sup>126</sup> Indeed, the notion that health was a social obligation was among the most important aspects of modernity as it was experienced, with subtle variations, in different parts of the world. One is accustomed to seeing these things as national or colonial phenomena, but there was a substantial degree of convergence between countries despite their different cultures and political systems. This "hygienic modernity" had colonial, liberal, socialist, and fascist inflections,127 but these were variations on a theme, and the variations are arguably less impressive than the similarities. Imperial competition, social Darwinism, economic hardship, and the threat or actuality of armed conflict placed a premium on bodily and mental efficiency. This began before birth and lasted throughout an individual's productive life.<sup>128</sup> A new view of health, which sought to enhance physical and mental performance, became firmly entrenched as societies came to be organized in the service of mass manufacture and warfare.<sup>129</sup> Such imperatives were not confined to Western nations or

125. Attewell, Refiguring Unani Tibb (n. 122); Sharma, Indigenous and Western Medicine (n. 122).

126. See, e.g., Brian Lewis, "So Clean": Lord Leverhulme, Soap and Civilization (Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2008); Timothy Burke, Lifebuoy Men, Lux Women: Commodification, Consumption, and Cleanliness in Modern Zimbabwe (Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press, 1996).

127. See, e.g., Robert Peckham and David M. Pomfret, eds., Imperial Contagions: Medicine, Hygiene, and Cultures of Planning in Asia (Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press, 2013); Ruth Rogaski, Hygienic Modernity: Meanings of Health and Disease in Treaty-Port China (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2004); Paula A. Michaels, Curative Powers: Medicine and Empire in Stalin's Central Asia (Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press, 2003); David G. Horn, Social Bodies: Science, Reproduction and Italian Modernity (Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 1995); Paul Weindling, Health, Race and German Politics between National Unification and Nazism, 1870–1945 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1989); Greta Jones, Social Hygiene in Twentieth Century Britain (London: Coom Helm, 1986).

128. See, e.g., Linda Bryder, "'Babies of the Empire': The Evolution of Infant Welfare Services in New Zealand and Britain in the First Half of the Twentieth Century," in *The Practice of Reform in Health Medicine and Science, 1500–2000*, ed. M. Pelling and S. Mandelbrote (Aldershot: Ashgate, 2005), 247–62; Susanne M. Klausen, *Race, Maternity, and the Politics of Birth Control in South Africa, 1910–39* (London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2004); Anna Davin, "Imperialism and Motherhood," *History Workshop Journal* 5 (1978): 9–65.

129. John Pickstone, "Production, Community and Consumption: The Political Economy of Twentieth-Century Medicine," 1–19, and Steve Sturdy, "The Industrial Body," 217–34, both

even to the cadres that governed their colonies. The educated elites of many Asian and African countries also came to view health as an index of social fitness, and its promotion was championed as a means of racial and national improvement.<sup>130</sup> As in the West, growing consciousness of health was connected with dietary faddism, physical training, eugenics, and campaigns for moral and mental improvement. Decades of colonial critique had been internalized, and colonized peoples strove to overcome and surpass the physical standards set by their masters. In some cases, most obviously in Japan, the cultivation of health was seen as the path to modernity and imperial dominance.<sup>131</sup>

Around the world, the promotion of health was embraced by the state and modernizing reformers. As taught in schools and inculcated in institutions such as the armed forces, hygiene conveyed the rudiments of Western medical science and led to rising demand for products legitimated by bacteriological conceptions of disease.<sup>132</sup> However, the penetration of bacteriological and physiological models of health, as well as those derived from psychology, did not completely displace older ideas based on ancient ideas of balance or, indeed, religious principles. In some cases, elements of modern of science were blended with insights from non-Western medical traditions, religions, and philosophies. Paradoxically, this became evident at the same time as modernist conceptions of health were articulated with most conviction. During the 1930s, for example, some individuals in Western countries began to promote alternative visions of health, some of which were explicitly or implicitly critical of modernity and its tendency to fragment human consciousness.<sup>133</sup> One aspect of this was the revival of

in Companion to Medicine in the Twentieth Century, ed. R. Cooter and J. Pickstone (London: Harwood, 2000); Deborah Lupton, The Imperative of Health: Public Health and the Regulated Body (London: Sage, 1995); Anson Rabinbach, The Human Motor: Energy, Fatigue and the Origins of Modernity (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1992). On the military aspects, see Mark Harrison, Medicine and Victory: British Military Medicine in the Second World War (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2004); R. Cooter, M. Harrison, and S. Sturdy, eds., Medicine and Modern Warfare (Amsterdam: Rodopi, 1999); R. Cooter, M. Harrison, and S. Sturdy, eds., War, Medicine and Modernity (Stroud: Sutton, 1998).

<sup>130.</sup> Sarah Hodges, ed., *Reproductive Health in India: History, Politics, Controversies* (New Delhi: Orient Longman, 2006); David Arnold, "An Ancient Race Outworn': Malaria and Race in Colonial India, 1860–1936," in *Race, Science and Medicine, 1700–1960*, ed. W. Ernst and B. Harris (London: Routledge, 1999), 123–43; Frank Dikötter, *The Discourse of Race in Modern China* (Stanford: Stanford University Press, 1994).

<sup>131.</sup> See Low, Building a Modern Japan (n. 119).

<sup>132.</sup> Nancy Tomes, *The Gospel of Germs: Men, Women, and the Microbe in American Life* (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1999).

<sup>133.</sup> Mathew Thomson, Psychological Subjects: Identity, Culture, and Health in Twentieth-Century Britain (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2006); Anne Harrington, Reenchanted Science: Holism in German Culture from Wilhelm II to Hitler (Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press, 1996).

holistic conceptions of health, some of which were closely linked to dietary regimes like vegetarianism and whole-food consumption, as well as the organic movement in agriculture.<sup>134</sup> In each colony and nation-state the rendering of science and its blending with indigenous cultural traditions was different, as were the political circumstances in which strictures on health emerged. Yet, universal principles were increasingly evident: the result not only of a particular vision of industrial modernity but of the increasingly important role played by international organizations such as the League of Nations Health Organization and the Rockefeller Foundation. Although these bodies were intended primarily to manage populations and the effects of economic change, they raised aspirations and set global standards by which public health and its outcomes could be judged. In the case of the Rockefeller Foundation, they also exported a model of public health that included the establishment of urban and rural health units, demonstration projects, and education in hygiene from school to university. Although the RF had little lasting impact on British India, for example, its programs were implemented widely elsewhere, particularly where they complemented local initiatives and nationalist projects of regeneration.<sup>135</sup> Public health was progressively internationalized, its focus transcending purely colonial concerns.<sup>136</sup>

By the 1930s differences in health indicators could be measured fairly precisely due to the centralization of mortality data in the League of Nations and bodies such as the Pan American Health Organization.<sup>137</sup> As a result, something approaching a global consciousness of health emerged,

134. G. T. Wrench, *The Wheel of Health* (London: C. W. Daniel, 1938). See also Tristram Stuart, *The Bloodless Revolution: Radical Vegetarians and the Discovery of India* (London: HarperPress, 2008); Louise E. Howard, *Sir Albert Howard in India* (London: Faber & Faber, 1953); William Lockeretz, ed., *Organic Farming: An International History* (Oxford: CAB International, 2007).

135. See, e.g., Margaret Jones, Public Health in Jamaica, 1850–1940: Neglect, Philanthropy and Development (Kingston: University of the West Indies Press, 2013); Liping Bu, Darwin Stapleton, and Ka-che Yip, eds., Science, Public Health and the State in Modern Asia (London: Routledge, 2012); Sanjoy Bhattacharya, "Global and Local Histories of Medicine: Interpretative Challenges and Future Possibilities," in The Oxford Handbook of the History of Medicine, ed. M. Jackson (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011), 135–49; Palmer, Launching Global Health (n. 71); Farley, To Cast Out Disease (n. 71); Anne-Emanuelle Birn, Marriage of Convenience: Rockefeller International Health and Revolutionary Mexico (Rochester, N.Y.: Rochester University Press, 2006).

136. Sunil Amrith, *Decolonizing International Health: India and Southeast Asia, 1930–65* (Basingstoke: Palgrave, 2006).

137. Iris Borowy, Coming to Terms with World Health: The League of Nations Health Organisation 1921–1946 (Berlin: Peter Lang Verlag, 2009); Alison Bashford, "Global Biopolitics and the History of World Health," Hist. Hum. Sci. 19 (2006): 67–88; Paul Weindling, ed., International Health Organisations and Movements, 1918–1939 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1995).

with international comparisons—often involving the Soviet Union as a paragon of the new collective ideal—figuring prominently. While countries were seen to be at different stages of development and to face different challenges, there was, as Henry Sigerist put it, a "human solidarity" in matters of health that could not be easily disregarded.<sup>138</sup> This was to acquire more substantial form in 1948, when health was listed as a human right in the United Nations Declaration and the idea grew that states had important obligations to ensure the health of their citizens. However, the internationalism of the immediate postwar era began to evaporate as relations between the West and the communist powers deteriorated. The notion that health was a human right was also criticized in some quarters as vague and impossible to define.

Nevertheless, most affluent nations continued to spend generously on health and to promote its development in poorer countries through foreign aid. Thus, the two superpowers-the United States and the Soviet Union-were major players in the most high-profile public health intervention of the postwar era: the World Health Organization's campaign to eradicate smallpox. The latter began in 1959 following the initiative of the Soviet Union's deputy minister of health and intensified from the mid-1960s, under American leadership.<sup>139</sup> Such campaigns reflected the technological optimism that had emerged in the preceding decades, but they were also an expression of political will, on the part of both national governments and the international community represented by the United Nations and its agencies. The United States and the Soviet Union also gave technical and financial assistance to international health campaigns in the hope that it would improve their standing in countries regarded as ideological battlegrounds. This proved beneficial or divisive depending on the context. Indeed, campaigns such as those waged against smallpox and malaria in the 1960s and 1970s highlighted many of the problems that would become increasingly evident in the years ahead; namely, tensions between local and international agencies and the tendency for high-profile, "vertical" public health campaigns to attract resources from other services.<sup>140</sup> As we shall see in the next section, these problems were

138. Henry E. Sigerist, *Civilization and Disease* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1943), 59.

139. John Farley, Brock Chisholm, the World Health Organization & the Cold War (Vancouver: UBC Press, 2008); Sanjoy Bhattacharya, Expunging Variola: The Control and Eradication of Smallpox in India, 1947–1977 (Hyderabad: Orient Longman, 2006).

140. Nancy Leys Stepan, Eradication: Ridding the World of Diseases Forever? (Ithaca, N.Y.: Cornell University Press, 2011); Webb, Humanity's Burden (n. 68); Marcos Cueto, Cold War, Deadly Fevers: Malaria Eradication in Mexico, 1955–1975 (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2007); Packard, Making of a Tropical Disease (n. 73); Javed Siddiqi, World Health and World Politics: The World Health Organization and the U.N. System (London: Hurst, 1995).

aggravated by the proliferation of actors in the field of global health and by the diminishing power of the state.

## The Global Present

In this final section of this article I consider some contemporary issues that would benefit from an historical perspective, starting with the most obvious consequence of globalization-pandemic disease. This aspect of contemporary globalization has received more attention from historians of medicine than any other, many books and articles having been written on HIV/AIDS and SARS in recent years. However, nearly all of these studies examine disease in the context of particular cities or nation-states; only a few offer a global or comparative perspective.<sup>141</sup> Such a perspective is necessary, to understand not only the causes of pandemics but also their legacy. The pandemics of the past few decades have already left a mark on our consciousness and this is particularly true of AIDS, which the historian Allan Brandt regards as the catalyst to new ways of thinking about public health. It was AIDS, he argues, that gave birth to the new field of "global health," now widely represented in university curriculums and in government programs around the world. Brandt sees clear differences between global health and the era of international health that preceded it. In particular, he points to the much greater emphasis that the global health movement places on human rights, the more active role envisaged for recipients of health care, and the integration of public health with clinical medicine. But these "complementary innovations," as Brandt terms them, jostle with some very different conceptions of what global health is or ought to be.142 Global health manifests differently according to the problem identified, while the objectives of its agents vary considerably.<sup>143</sup>

The tensions within what would become the global health movement were present at its inception. While activists demanded respect and adequate treatment for the victims of AIDS, governments resourced AIDS programs largely for other reasons. Chief among these were concerns over security; that is, the protection of national interests. The devastation

141. See, e.g., D. Davis and H. Siu, eds., SARS: Reception and Interpretations in Three Chinese Cities (London: Routledge, 2007); Thomas Abraham, Twenty-First Century Plague: The Story of SARS (Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press, 2004); Peter Baldwin, Disease and Democracy: The Industrialized World Faces AIDS (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2005); E. Fee and D. M. Fox, eds., AIDS: The Making of a Chronic Disease (Berkeley: University of California Press, 1992).

142. Allan Brandt, "How AIDS Invented Global Health," *New Engl. J. Med.* 368 (2013): 2149–52, quotation on 2152.

143. Tamara Giles-Vernick and James L. A. Webb Jr., "Introduction," in *Global Health in Africa: Historical Perspectives on Disease Control*, ed. T. Giles-Vernick and J. L. A. Webb Jr. (Athens: Ohio University Press, 2013), 1–21, 3–4.

caused by AIDS in sub-Saharan Africa led intelligence agencies to contemplate the implications of the pandemic for the stability of allied and strategically important states.<sup>144</sup> Disease was not the sole source of these anxieties, but it came to embody them, whether it was the volatility of the globalized economy or concerns over terrorism.<sup>145</sup> Today, governments continue to view global health initiatives in similar ways.<sup>146</sup> While they stress the need to work with external agencies to achieve common objectives, their decisions are guided chiefly by national interests. The aspiration of "health for all" is secondary, if not always incompatible with the first.<sup>147</sup>

The aims of different global health agencies do not necessarily contradict one another, but there is certainly some tension between them. At the dawn of the new millennium, fear of new infections—originating mostly in tropical countries—led some in the West to demand tighter controls on the movement of peoples and commodities from areas deemed to have a higher risk of infectious disease.<sup>148</sup> On the whole, they were left frustrated, but more emphasis came to be placed on epidemiological surveillance and containment, especially after the SARS pandemic of 2003. Although it killed relatively few people by contrast with most other pandemic diseases (8,422 recorded cases and 916 deaths), the uncertainty surrounding SARS caused great alarm and threatened for a time to destabilize the global economy.<sup>149</sup> The response to SARS, coming in the wake of the

147. As articulated by Dr Margaret Chan, "Return to Alma-Ata," *Lancet* 372 (2008): 865–66 and Paul Farmer, "Preface," in *Reimagining Global Health: An Introduction*, eds. P. Farmer, J. Y. Kim, A. Kleinman, and M. Basilico (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2013), xiii.

148. Nicholas B. King, "Security, Disease, Commerce: Ideologies of Postcolonial Global Health," *Soc. Stud. Sci.* 32 (2002): 763–89. King was responding to the climate created by such works as Laurie Garrett's *The Coming Plague: Newly Emerging Diseases in a World Out of Balance* (London: Penguin, 1995) and Richard Preston's *The Hot Zone: A Terrifying True Story* (New York: Random House, 1994). One of the main sources of these and similar works was the Institute of Medicine report on *Emerging Infections: Microbial Threats to Health in the United States* (Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press, 1992).

149. See, e.g., Tseng Yen-Fen and Wu Chia-Ling, "Governing Germs from Outside and Within Borders: Controlling 2003 SARS Risks in Taiwan," in *Health and Hygiene in Chinese East Asia: Policies and Publics in the Long Twentieth Century*, ed. A. K. C. Leung and C. Furth (Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press, 2010), 255–72; S. Harris Ali and R. Keil, eds., *Networked Disease: Emerging Infections in the Global City* (Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell, 2008); Davis and Siu,

<sup>144.</sup> Gwyn Prins, "AIDS and Global Security," Int. Aff. 80 (2004): 931–52.

<sup>145.</sup> Susan Peterson, "Epidemic Infectious Diseases and National Security," *Security Stud.* 12 (2002): 43–81.

<sup>146.</sup> E.g., "The Global Health Strategy of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services," http://www.globalhealth.gov and U.S. State Department Global Health Initiative, http:///www.ghi.gov, accessed February 17, 2013.

terrorist attacks of September 2001, was infused with the rhetoric of the War on Terror. Nations that failed to observe the new protocols of "germ governance" were seen as little better than states that sponsored terrorism.<sup>150</sup> There was a sense that the world had become a more dangerous place, in which nation-states would struggle to protect their sovereignty and their borders. The response to SARS invariably took the form of quarantine and isolation, violation of which, in some countries, was severely punished.<sup>151</sup> While there was general acceptance that such measures were desirable, some states were accused of overreacting and of unacceptable infringements of human rights. In Taipei, for example, the city's homeless population was corralled and healthy people were placed in quarantine alongside those who were infected.<sup>152</sup> This draconian response was probably intended to reassure the international community, for states that failed to meet international expectations faced censure and a catastrophic loss of business and investor confidence.<sup>153</sup>

In all these senses, SARS was typical of the majority of epidemic or pandemic diseases. AIDS, by contrast, was a slowly unfolding tragedy rather than a time-limited event or sequence of events.<sup>154</sup> It was therefore SARS rather than AIDS that provided the model for pandemic control in the coming decade. The main focus of these concerns was influenza or influenza-like diseases, which were capable of spreading rapidly in an age of mass air transportation and of engendering a panic that could destabilize the global economy. These threats were (and are) real enough, but they also reflect the volatility of financial markets and other anxieties arising from globalization. These fears were stoked by estimates of mortality that were invariably inflated, sometimes egregiously so. This was not solely because public health officials played to the media but because the

SARS (n. 141); Alison Bashford, ed., Medicine at the Border: Disease, Globalization and Security, 1850 to the Present (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2006), pt. 3; Tim Brookes, Behind the Mask: How the World Survived SARS, the First Epidemics of the Twenty-First Century (Washington, D.C.: American Public Health Association, 2005); C. Loh, ed., At the Epicenter: Hong Kong and the SARS Outbreak (Hong Kong: Hong Kong University Press, 2004).

<sup>150.</sup> David Gratzer, "SARS 101," *Nat. Rev.*, May 19, 2003. On "germ governance," see David P. Fidler, "Germs, Governance, and Global Public Health in the Wake of SARS," *J. Clin. Invest.* 113 (2004): 799–804.

<sup>151.</sup> Bashford, Medicine at the Border (n. 149).

<sup>152.</sup> Barbara Demick, "Taiwan Takes No Chances on SARS," *Los Angeles Times*, May 15, 2003, http://articles.latimes.com/2003/may/15/world/fg-taisars15, accessed September 15, 2013.

<sup>153.</sup> Iris Chang, "Fear of SARS, Fear of Strangers," *New York Times*, May 21, 2003, http://www.nytimes.com/2003/05/21/opinion/21CHAN.html, accessed August 25, 2015.

<sup>154.</sup> See Charles E. Rosenberg, "What Is an Epidemic? AIDS in Historical Perspective," *Daedlus* 118 (1989): 1–17.

methods they employed were flawed. Influenza is perhaps the most protean of infections, and most models designed to predict its behavior have proved unsatisfactory.<sup>155</sup> But predictions—even inaccurate ones—have their uses, and governments have taken advantage of the fear generated by pandemics to justify measures normally considered unacceptable. During the H1N1 pandemic in 2009, for example, many countries imposed a ban on pork imports from North America despite a declaration from the WHO that such produce was safe. This prompted allegations of sanitary protectionism—a familiar refrain since the dismantling of formal tariff barriers and the creation of the World Trade Organization in 1995.<sup>156</sup> Yet, sanitary protectionism is far from being a "weapon of the weak." The states that have most frequently taken advantage of international law are among the richest and most powerful. The losers tend to be those that rely on export earnings to improve the health and prosperity of their people.

The fragmented response to H1N1 contrasts starkly with the consensual, internationalist rhetoric of the global health movement. Though pandemics are universal risks, they continue to elicit nationalistic responses,<sup>157</sup> and the trend toward global governance, which some claimed to discern in the response to SARS,<sup>158</sup> has stalled. Repeated demands to "securitize" health<sup>159</sup>—if enacted—are likely to exacerbate these problems, for, while security is clearly a "public good,"<sup>160</sup> it is generally invoked in defense of particular interests. As we have seen, this is especially true when sanitary concerns impinge on those of commerce. Most nations employ specialist lawyers and scientists who are engaged to calculate the sanitary risks posed by the trade in certain commodities. Though all appeal to "science" as the arbiter of commercial and other sanitary disputes, there is no objective standard against which such assessments can be made.<sup>161</sup> Each is

155. Mark Honigsbaum, "'The Great Dread': Influenza in the United Kingdom in Peace and War, 1889–1919" (Ph.D. diss., Queen Mary, University of London, 2011).

156. Harrison, Contagion (n. 61), 237-46.

157. Ulrich Beck, World at Risk (Cambridge: Polity, 2009), 175-76.

158. John J. Kirkton, Nikolai Roudev, and Laura Sunderland, "Making G8 Leaders Deliver: An Analysis of Compliance and Health Commitments, 1996–2006," *Bull. World Health Organization* 85 (2007): 192–99. See also David P. Fidler and Lawrence O. Gostin, "The New International Health Regulations: An Historic Development for International Law and Public Health," *J. Law Med. Ethics* (2006): 85–94. For a general appraisal, see A. F. Cooper, J. J. Kirton, and T. Schrecker, eds., *Governing Global Health: Challenge, Response, Innovation* (Aldershot: Ashgate, 2007).

159. Andrew Price-Smith, Contagion and Chaos: Disease, Ecology and National Security in the Era of Globalization (Cambridge, Mass.: MIT Press, 2009).

160. Ian Loader and Neil Walker, *Civilizing Security* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007).

161. Ulrich Beck, *Risk Society: Towards a New Modernity*, trans. M. Ritter (London: Sage, 2000).

open to interpretation and endless challenges result. Calculations of risk also shape other aspects of pandemic prevention. Most countries have national risk registers in which pandemics figure prominently, while insurance companies make their own influential assessments. The company Maplecroft, for instance, produces an annual Influenza Pandemic Risk Index that "enables governments, intergovernmental organizations and businesses to identify potential risks to populations and supply chains." Countries are ranked and classified according to different risk levels along three indices: the risk of the emergence of new strains, the risk of spread, and their capacity to contain an epidemic.<sup>162</sup>

Risk assessments are unavoidable and, in many respects, beneficial features of public health. However, we ought not to assume that they are objective statements of reality. It is vital to understand how risks are calculated and who stands to gain from such assessments. Individuals, social groups and even nations can be pressurized into altering their behavior in conformity with these calculations-whether by the dictates of insurers or through fear of legal redress.<sup>163</sup> But the most pressing need is to understand the process whereby risk calculations result in risk management. All manner of assumptions and interests come into play as communities or organizations decide how to interpret and act upon the risk assessments they receive. It is also important to reflect upon the ways in which risk assessments affect inter-personal relationships. Humanitarian and cosmopolitan sentiments can easily evaporate once risk groups have been identified. Evaluations of risk also provide a more atomized and individualistic basis for public health than ideas of civic duty, whether they be Renaissance notions of the "common good" or modern conceptions of "social citizenship." The latter are essentially collective principles and entail mutual obligations. Risk assessments can be utilized in the service of such ideals, but they tend to pull in the opposite direction. This is clearly the case with vaccination, the uptake of which has dropped in many high-income countries, especially in wealthy areas. Parents make choices based solely on the risks and benefits of vaccination for their own children, as opposed to notions of collective responsibility. Herd immunity has come to be seen not so much as a social good but as a factor in individual decision making.<sup>164</sup>

162. Verisk Maplecroft, "Advisory Services," http://maplecroft.com/about/news/influenza\_pandemic\_risk\_index.html, accessed September 10, 2013.

163. Philip Alcabes, Dread: How Fear and Fantasy Have Fueled Epidemics from the Black Death to Avian Flu (New York: PublicAffairs, 2009), 223.

164. Andrew M. Parker, Raffaele Vardavas, Christopher S. Marcum, and Courtney A. Gidengil, "Conscious Consideration of Herd Immunity in Influenza Vaccination Decisions," *Amer. J. Prevent. Med.* 45 (2013): 118–21.

Risk relationships may be no better or worse than earlier foundations of public health, but they are different and need to be reckoned with. Faced with a multiplicity of risk factors, national governments, charities, NGOs, and global institutions are left to decide which to prioritize and how to act on them. Since the appearance of SARS, there has been a clear preference to deal with the risk of pandemic diseases by tracking their emergence and spread, with the aim of containing outbreaks or buying valuable time. Calls for more and better surveillance therefore persist.<sup>165</sup> But it is improbable that even the most sophisticated systems of surveillance will enable the containment of all diseases. Moreover, this response deals with only one element of risk—the risk of transmission; the risk of the emergence of new diseases or strains receives considerably less attention.

By far the most important risk factor in this sense is humanity's changing relationship with other species. All periods of global integration have left their mark on these relationships and the past few decades are no exception. Deforestation—largely as a consequence of logging for the global market—has brought human beings into contact with a wider range of infections, as humans invade the wild habitats of other animals. Ebola, Nipah virus, hantavirus, Rocky Mountain spotted fever, and many other diseases have "emerged" and spread as a result of forest clearance, as well as the increasing recreational use of woodland and other wilderness areas.<sup>166</sup> The trade in animals as pets and bush meat has exacerbated this trend, most obviously in the case of SARS and Ebola.<sup>167</sup>

Notions of "emerging" and "reemerging" infections sometimes conceal deeply held prejudices about other nations, especially their sanitary habits and modes of governance.<sup>168</sup> But there can be no doubt that the global disease environment is changing. The rapid growth of cities such as Kinshasa and Chongqing reflects the economic advantages to be gained from concentrating people and resources, but a penalty has usually to be paid. Dense populations allow diseases to circulate and mutate more quickly. Poorly planned construction provides ample breeding sites for mosquitoes carrying diseases such as dengue and malaria. Burgeoning populations outstrip the supply of wholesome water. Most worrying of all, perhaps, are

165. E.g., Larry Brilliant, "The Age of Pandemics," *Wall Street Journal*, May 2, 2009, http://online.wsj.com/article/SB124121965740478983.html, accessed September 9, 2013.

166. Stephen J. Collier and Andrew Lakoff, "The Problem of Securing Health," in *Biosecurity Interventions: Global Health and Security in Question*, ed. A. Lakoff and S. J. Collier (New York: Columbia University Press, 2008), 7–28.

167. See Jacques Pepin, *The Origins of AIDS* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011).

168. Peter Washer, *Emerging Infectious Diseases and Society* (Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2010).

the consequences of feeding such conurbations. In many parts of Asia, rapid urbanization is the chief driver of intensive animal production, as it was in the West a century or so before. As well as affording greater opportunities for the mutation of viral diseases such as influenza,<sup>169</sup> the subtherapeutic use of antibiotics in intensive farming has been linked to bacterial resistance, for example, to the drug tetracycline. The problem of intensive livestock production is widely acknowledged by bodies such as the WHO and the WTO.<sup>170</sup> But there is little they can do in the face of huge variations in national legislation. In view of the powerful interests involved, and the desire to maintain or secure competitive advantage, the prospect of concerted global action appears dim.

So far, I have discussed globalization in relation to pandemic diseases and that is largely because the epidemiological consequences of integration are generally seen in that way. However, there is growing recognition that changing lifestyles, which are directly and indirectly linked to globalization, are transforming patterns of morbidity in both highly developed and low-income countries. Globalization has lifted millions from poverty and has contributed in many instances to the improvement of health infrastructure and the provision of vital utilities such as clean water. It has also enabled governments in developing countries to afford more medicines and vaccines, thereby reducing deaths from easily preventable and curable diseases. These results have been most spectacular in nations such as Bangladesh that have high levels of civic activism, female education, and state involvement in health care. As a result, global mortality from the majority of infectious diseases-with the exception HIV/AIDS-is falling. Causing around 25 percent of deaths worldwide in 1998, infectious diseases were responsible for less than 16 percent of global mortality in 2010.171 Global life expectancy has risen to sixty-six years and is expected to reach seventy-three by 2025.<sup>172</sup>

169. Michael Greger, "Industrial Animal Agriculture's Role in the Emergence and Spread of Disease," in *The Meat Crisis: Developing More Sustainable Production and Consumption*, ed. J. D'Silva and J. Webster (London: Earthscan, 2010), 161–72.

170. American Government Archive, "Emerging Diseases Drive Human, Animal Health Alliance," July 20, 2007, http://iipdigital.usembassy.gov/st/english/article/2007/07/2007 0720135159lcnirellep0.2875177.html#axzz3jqs1QYZX. The neglect of these issues in policy-making circles is matched by the relative neglect of the human-animal disease interface historically: see Robert G. W. Kirk and Michael Worboys, "Medicine and Species: One Medicine, One History?," in Jackson, Oxford Handbook of the History of Medicine (n. 135), 561–77.

171. http://www.millenium-project.org/millenium/Global\_Challenges/chall.0.8.html, accessed August 27 2015; http://www.ophi.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/Multidimensional-Poverty-Index-2013-Alkire-Roche-and-Seth.pdf, accessed August 29, 2015.

172. http://www.who.int/whr/1998/media\_centre/press\_release/en/, accessed August 27, 2015.

However, longevity and prosperity have created new problems. An aging population is more likely to suffer degenerative diseases such as dementia, and this is placing an enormous burden on even the richest nations. Rising incomes in developing countries have also brought an increase in alcohol- and tobacco-related diseases. Global mortality from tobacco-related illness, for example, is projected to increase to around ten million per year by 2030. The costs for the countries that are most affected-principally China and India-will be staggering, not to mention the impact on productivity.<sup>173</sup> The effects of these diseases are compounded by those arising from obesity.<sup>174</sup> Although conditions such as diabetes and cancer have been rising in developing countries for decades,<sup>175</sup> the pace of dietary change over the past twenty years has been extraordinary. In China, the consumption of animal products increased 40 percent between 1989 and 1997 and that of "fast food" doubled between 1999 and 2007.176 Traditional diets that are relatively high in vegetables, fruits, and whole grains (allowing for local cultural differences) have been replaced by those high in saturated fats and refined carbohydrates. These rapid changes in food culture have been fueled by some of the indirect effects of globalization such as economic insecurity and urbanization, while their detrimental effects on human health have been exacerbated by more sedentary working patterns and increasing reliance on motor transport.

It is presently unclear whether these harmful trends can be countered, but the problem appears rather differently in different countries. In rapidly developing countries there are stark contrasts between the mortality and morbidity profiles of the new middle class and the very poor, many of whom still die as a result of exposure to infectious diseases, accidents, and violence.<sup>177</sup> Likewise, diseases of affluence in developing countries are often the diseases of poverty in high-income countries. Obesity, cardiovascular disease, and type 2 diabetes are usually to be found among

173. Prabhat Jha, "Avoidable Global Cancer Deaths and Total Deaths from Smoking," *Nature Rev. Cancer* 9 (2009): 655–64; Editorial, "Cardiovascular Disease in China: Why Should We Care?," *J. Asian Health* (2012), http://journalofasianhealth.com/article.php, accessed November 5, 2012.

174. E.g., Frank B. Hu, "Globalization of Food Patterns and Cardiovascular Disease Risk," *Circulation* 118 (2008): 1913–14; P. Zimmet, "Globalization, Coca-colonization and the Chronic Disease Epidemic: Can the Doomsday Scenario Be Averted?," *J. Internal Med.* 247 (2000): 301–10.

175. David Arnold, "Diabetes in the Tropics: Race, Place and Class in India, 1880–1965," Soc. Hist. Med. 22 (2009): 245–61.

176. Hu, "Globalization of Food Patterns" (n. 174).

177. Mary M. Kent and Sandra Yin, "Controlling Infectious Diseases," *Popul. Bull.* 61 (2006): 3–9; Farmer, *Infections and Inequalities* (n. 96).

those unable to reap the benefits of globalization: the poorly educated and unskilled who have suffered economically and psychologically from the decline of traditional heavy industries. In many affluent and middleincome countries there is also a third group of persons who present a complicated mixture of problems: economic migrants. Low-skilled migrant workers are not always from foreign countries but are often firstgeneration immigrants from rural areas. Like their foreign counterparts, they tend to lack basic rights, including the right to health care and health insurance; their hours of work are long and their job security low. They typically suffer from health problems that show the consequences of new dietary habits (e.g., diabetes) alongside those associated with poor housing and working conditions (e.g., water-borne diseases, respiratory disease, exposure to environmental toxins, and physical injury).

Migrant workers-whose status is sometimes that of illegal immigrants-also exhibit a range of mental health problems caused by overwork, poverty, abuse, and deracination. They share these problems with many persons who arrive in countries of all types as refugees following famine, war, and natural disasters. As Zygmunt Bauman has remarked, the treatment of these groups resembles that of "vagabonds" and other persons displaced during previous economic and political upheavals.<sup>178</sup> Like their historical counterparts, the marginal status of migrant workers and refugees has also been "fixed" by the stigma of infectious diseases such as tuberculosis and accusations of mental illness.<sup>179</sup> It is, however, difficult to be sure of the impact of globalization on mental health. For some, globalization may provide a release from social and cultural restraints, whereas for others economic insecurity results in depression and anxiety. What is certain, however, is that current problems of mental health, like those of physical health, cannot be understood independently of the global forces that govern so many aspects of our lives.<sup>180</sup>

The effects of globalization have been equally apparent in the field of health care, most obviously in a shift from public to private provision. The mid-twentieth century saw the idealization of the state as a provider of health care and in most countries its contribution continued to grow

<sup>178.</sup> Zygmunt Bauman, *Globalization: The Human Consequences* (Cambridge: Polity, 1998). On globalization and intolerance toward minorities, see also Arjun Appadurai, *Fear of Small Numbers: An Essay on the Geography of Anger* (Durham, N.C.: Duke University Press, 2006).

<sup>179.</sup> For the early modern period, see, e.g., Ann G. Carmichael, *Plague and the Poor in Renaissance Florence* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1986); Paul Slack, *The Impact of Plague in Tudor and Stuart England* (Oxford: Clarendon, 1985).

<sup>180.</sup> Dinesh Bhugra and Anastasia Mastrogianni, "Globalisation and Mental Disorders," *Brit. J. Psychiatry* 184 (2004): 10–20.

for decades. Western social democracies expanded the range of health care available to the public, while some authoritarian regimes like South Korea under Park Chung-hee favored state-funded health insurance as a way of co-opting and mobilizing the population for nationalist ends.<sup>181</sup> The same was true of many countries in what used to be called the "Third World." There, attention shifted from disease-specific campaigns to primary health care. The Soviet Union was one of the leading lights in this transition, hosting the conference that produced the famous 1978 Alma-Ata Declaration of "Health for All by 2000."<sup>182</sup> Communist China offered a different model of health care in the form of its barefoot doctor program, which provided an alternative to more hierarchical and technically oriented forms of intervention.<sup>183</sup>

By the 1980s, however, a radically different form of consensus was emerging, closely aligned with the ascent of neoliberal ideology. One of the consequences of the "Washington Consensus" was the scaling back of state-funded health care and the emergence of market-driven reforms. Neoliberalism was sustained by the growing belief that the state impeded efficiency and prevented formerly dominant nations from competing in a global market with states that had lower taxes and production costs. However, the effects of neoliberal thinking were most immediately apparent in low-income countries that were forced to cut back on state expenditure as a condition for financial assistance. As the International Monetary Fund's structural adjustment programs began to bite into state expenditure, health inequalities became more visible in many of the poorest parts of the world.<sup>184</sup> Other health care providers including private companies, NGOs, charitable foundations, and missionaries began to take a prominent role.<sup>185</sup> The work of these institutions has often been impressive, but concern over their accountability remains.<sup>186</sup> Neoliberal policies also became the norm in the West, even in those countries that retained state-

181. Stein Ringen, Huck-ju Kwon, Ilcheong Yi, Taekyoon Kim, and Jooha Lee, *The Korean State and Social Policy: How South Korea Lifted Itself from Poverty to Affluence and Democracy* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011).

182. See Marcos Cueto, "The Origins of Primary Health Care and Selective Primary Healthcare," *Amer. J. Pub. Health* 94 (2004): 1864–74.

183. Xiaoping Fang, *Barefoot Doctors and Western Medicine in China* (Rochester, N.Y.: Rochester University Press, 2012); W. Sidel, "The Barefoot Doctors of the People's Republic of China," *New Engl. J. Med.* 286 (1972): 1292–1300.

184. J. Y. Kim, J. V. Millen, A. Irwin, and J. Gershman, *Dying for Growth: Global Inequality* and the Health of the Poor (Monroe, Maine: Common Courage Press, 2000).

185. See, e.g., Michael Jennings, Surrogates of the State: NGOs Development and Ujamaa in Tanzania (Bloomfield, Conn.: Kumarian Press, 2007).

186. E.g., Ilona Kickbusch, "The Development of International Health Policies—Accountability Intact?," Soc. Sci. Med. 51 (2000): 979–89. funded systems of health care. So-called public-private partnerships were promoted vigorously by governments of all complexions. Beginning in Australia in the 1980s, but later taken up enthusiastically in the United Kingdom and other European countries, PPPs seemed to offer substantial savings. The results, however, were mixed, and the cost of such schemes was often massively underestimated.<sup>187</sup>

Privatization has been driven by rising costs as much as by political ideology. As Roy Porter put it some years ago, "the healthier western society becomes, the more medicine it craves."<sup>188</sup> Demand for new drugs and other forms of treatment means that the cost of health care has escalated, pharmaceutical companies having an incentive to raise prices to the maximum the market will bear. In countries with substantially state-funded health services, access to new drugs is normally regulated by bodies that assess value for money and clinical efficacy, so the cost of health care has risen more slowly than in countries in which the private-sector dominates. In 2009, the United States, with its predominantly private system of health care, spent 17.6 percent of gross domestic product on health, whereas the United Kingdom, with its free universal health care, spent only 9.8 percent, with no obvious difference in outcomes.<sup>189</sup>

However, the insatiable demand for new medicines is no longer confined to the West, if it ever was. Medical consumerism is now a global phenomenon, and its appetites are sharpened by a cosmopolitan market in goods and services. Western medicine has to compete with other forms of healing such as traditional Chinese medicine and ayurveda, both of which have found niches in a global market. Interest in these and other "alternative" medicines rose sharply from the 1960s, as confidence in Western medicine began to decline following a series of scandals (thalidomide being the most obvious) and concerns over iatrogenic disease. Non-Western therapies were in tune with a counterculture characterized by individualism, environmentalism, and feminism; Western medicine, by contrast, seemed increasingly anonymous and in league with "Big Pharma." Disenchantment brought a surge of interest in "holistic" forms of healing and pharmaceutical products which touted their exotic or wholesome origins.<sup>190</sup>

187. Allyson M. Pollock, NHS plc: The Privatisation of Our Health Care (London: Verso, 2004).

188. Porter, Greatest Benefit to Mankind (n. 102), 717-18.

189. World Health Organization, World Health Statistics. Part III: Global Health Indicators (Geneva: WHO, 2012).

190. Roberta Bivins, Alternative Medicine?: A History (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007), 173–74, 181–82; Ivan Illich, Limits to Medicine: Medical Nemesis: The Expropriation of Health (1976; repr., London: Penguin, 1988).

Ironically, the globalization of these products (and the medical traditions which purportedly spawned them) was possible only because they emulated many features of Western medicine and pharmaceutical manufacture. Formerly diverse practices were welded together into traditions "recovered" or perhaps invented in order to meet the need for national systems of medicine in the wake of political independence. Granting equal status to "indigenous" medicines alongside that of the West also meant imposing similar qualifications and standards for practice and training.<sup>191</sup> This was not uncontroversial but it allowed entities such as traditional Chinese medicine to take a concrete form and this gave them greater prominence internationally. The tendency toward uniformity has been most evident in the pharmaceutical sector, however. Although they have been marketed as alternatives to mass-produced, synthetic pharmaceuticals, many so-called traditional medicines are manufactured industrially and sold in much the same way as their Western counterparts.<sup>192</sup>

Purveyors of these pharmaceuticals have created many global brands but practitioners of non-Western medicine have also had to adapt to local cultures. This is the case with traditional Chinese medicine in East Africa, where, despite the training of African practitioners in Chinese institutions, local expectations have led to significant modifications of practice. One might consider this to be an example of "glocalization" rather than globalization pure and simple.<sup>193</sup> Other medical "traditions" have also adapted to new locations and different constituencies, as is evident in the case of ayurveda, in which at least three distinct varieties can now be discerned.<sup>194</sup> In acknowledgment of this complex mixture of diversity and global branding, some scholars have used the term "neotraditionalism" to describe the deployment of "tradition" to legitimate practices which are new.<sup>195</sup> Being very much a product of globalization, neotraditional

191. See, e.g., Seonsam Na, "East Asian Medicine in South Korea," *Harvard Asia Quart.* 14 (2012): 44–56; Kim Taylor, "Divergent Interests and Cultivated Misunderstandings: The Influence of the West on Shaping Modern Chinese Medicine," *Soc. Hist. Med.* 17 (2004): 93–112.

192. Madhulika Banerjee, Power, Knowledge, Medicine: Ayurvedic Pharmaceuticals at Home and in the World (Hyderabad: Orient Longman, 2009); Maarten Bode, Taking Traditional Knowledge to the Market: The Modern Image of the Ayurvedic and Unani Industry 1980–2000 (Hyderabad: Orient Blackswan, 2008).

193. E. Hsu and G. Stollberg, eds., "Globalizing Chinese Medicine," special issue, *Med. Anthrop.* 28 (2009); Michael Jennings, "Chinese Medicine and Medical Pluralism in Dar es Salaam: Globalisation or Glocalisation?," *Internat. Relations* 19 (2005): 457–73.

194. Dagmar Wujastyk and Frederick M. Smith, eds., *Modern and Global Ayurveda: Pluralism and Paradigms* (Albany: State University of New York Press, 2008).

195. E.g., Laurent Pordié, ed., *Tibetan Medicine in the Contemporary World: Global Politics of Medical Knowledge and Practice* (London: Routledge, 2008).

medicine is deterritorialized and carries little of the nationalistic and, on occasion, racial baggage of earlier times.

While some forms of traditional medicine have taken advantage of globalization, others-such as Korean medicine-have yet to establish themselves internationally. Nor should we exaggerate the status that even the most successful forms of non-Western medicine currently enjoy. Some-like traditional Chinese medicine-are recognized in programs of integrated medicine that combine TCM and other "traditional" therapies with biomedical ones. In some Chinese hospitals, integration of Western, TCM and ethnic minority medicines works extremely well, assisted by the fact that practitioners of all systems have identical pay scales.<sup>196</sup> But while some Western universities have schools of medicine, it is not yet widely practiced beyond Asia and is still looked upon skeptically by many. IM also affords less equality to traditional medicines than might be supposed, for non-Western therapies-which were originally formulated to meet the needs of individual patients-are appraised by randomized control trials and other methods that take no account of such variations.<sup>197</sup> Far from being a savior of traditional medicines, evidence-based medicine has effectively subordinated them, forcing them to accept the validity of criteria they can never hope to meet.

Biomedicine therefore remains in the ascendant in most countries and is becoming more powerful in some which had vibrant traditions of their own. Western medicine is now seen as a lifestyle choice—just as alternative medicines are for many people in the West—affirming modernity and membership of a global community. It also provides quick and effective remedies that are well suited to the pace of life in a modern, globalized world. As a result of these and other local factors, practitioners of traditional medicine in some countries have seen their status fall. Traditional medical schools in South Korea, which used to attract the best students from high school, now appear to be struggling to fill places as star pupils flock to colleges of Western medicine.<sup>198</sup> Likewise, foreign visitors are as likely to come to China or India for treatment in Western hospitals as to seek alternatives to such remedies.<sup>199</sup> But millions in the world's poorest

196. Author's observation of Qinghai Red Cross Hospital, Xining, People's Republic of China, December 12–16, 2013.

197. T. W. Gaudet, "Integrative Medicine: The Evolution of a New Approach to Medicine and to Medical Education," *Integrative Med.* 1 (1998): 67–73.

198. "Oimyeonbatneum jeontong Hanuihak... Pye'eop Sokchul" ("Neglected Korean Traditional Medicine: A Series of Shut-Downs"), SBS News, November 23, 2012, http://m. sbs.co.kr, accessed January 7, 2013.

199. Michael D. Horowitz, Jeffrey A. Rosenweig, and Christopher A. Jones, "Medical Tourism: Globalization of the Healthcare Marketplace," *Medscape Gen. Med.* 9 (2007): 33–42.

countries are unable to afford either the services offered by practitioners of Western medicine or those of physicians trained in the great traditions of Asia. Their medical choices are affected by globalization but not in any clear or easily generalizable way, often resorting to unlicensed practitioners who synthesize elements of different medical "systems."<sup>200</sup>

The choices made by individuals within the global market are normally innocuous but in some cases the most affluent exercise their choice at the expense of the poorest and most vulnerable. Perhaps the most notorious example of this is the trade in organs intended for transplantation. Though this trade is distasteful to many, a number of prominent ethicists have made strong arguments in favor of it, albeit in strictly controlled circumstances.<sup>201</sup> But the reality usually falls short of the ideal, for organs tend to be harvested from the desperate for substantially less than "market price." There is also plenty of evidence to show that they are obtained under duress, especially from persons who fall victim to human traffickers.<sup>202</sup> Organized on a global scale, these activities are difficult to detect and almost impossible to regulate. Questions of equity and efficiency also come into play when the global market is allowed to govern matters of public health, not least the development and distribution of vaccines. During the H1N1 influenza pandemic of 2009, stocks of vaccine were quickly exhausted as they were purchased by affluent nations. Practically none of the vaccine reached African countries, but ironically much of the unused stock from rich countries was dumped there.<sup>203</sup> Without something like a global fund to purchase vaccines or, indeed, life-saving treatments for common infectious diseases, the health of many people around the world is likely to remain dependent on the good offices of charitable foundations.

## Conclusion

In this article I have made a case for the relevance of global history to historians of health, disease, and medicine. It has not been my intention to

200. David Hardiman and Projit Bihari Mukharji, eds., *Medical Marginality in South Asia: Situating Subaltern Therapeutics* (London: Routledge, 2012).

201. See C. A. Erin and J. Harris, "An Ethical Market in Organs," *J. Med. Ethics* 29 (2003): 137–38; J. Savulescu, "Is the Sale of Body Parts Wrong?," *J. Med. Ethics* 29 (2003): 138–39.

202. Jamie Doward, "People Smugglers Target Their Victims as Organ Donors and House Slaves," *Observer*, September 26, 2012, www.observer.com, accessed October 22, 2012.

203. Martin Trauth, "Rich Countries Corner Supplies of Swine Flu Vaccine," July 26, 2009, Agence France Presse, www.afp.com, accessed October 22, 2012; Fleur Hupston, "Swine Flu Vaccines Dumped in Africa," September 15, 2010, http://www.naturalnews.com/029742\_vaccines\_swine\_flu.html, accessed October 22, 2012.

be prescriptive or to devalue other approaches but merely to affirm that a global perspective can illuminate some of the central problems of our field. Widening the geographical range of our scholarship allows us to see familiar stories-like the emergence of modern "Western" medicine-in a new light, for its origins appear more diverse and exotic than traditional accounts allow. A global perspective also enables us to see connections between what at first appear to be random events, such as the growing prevalence of many diseases (human, plant, and animal; infectious and noninfectious) simultaneously in different parts of the world. Indeed, the advent of the first global market produced an epidemiological upheaval comparable to the great "exchanges" of the past. All manner of pathogens came to circulate the globe, while the environments receiving them were transformed by commercial agriculture and industrial enterprise. And yet, the world was "unified" by disease in only the most superficial sense. It soon became clear that a divergence had occurred, for the countries that gained most from global integration enjoyed improving health while conditions often worsened elsewhere. Better nutrition and sanitary infrastructure in the richer nations began to reduce mortality from infectious disease, but improvements occurred fitfully or considerably later in their colonies. It was not until the 1930s that the situation began to improve. At that time, international comparisons, rising expectations, and concerns over international competitiveness led to an escalation of state intervention and more standardized conceptions of health and illness. This transition was aided by the increasing availability of Western pharmaceuticals and services, particularly in industrial and commercial centers that had grown with the global market. These products paved the way for medical and sanitary interventions by normalizing Western medicine and the biological concepts that underpinned it.

Our present era bears some resemblance to this earlier period of integration. Once again, there is an increasing threat from certain infectious diseases, but with the exception of tuberculosis and influenza these are different from those that plagued the nineteenth century. There are other differences, too. Chronic diseases and degenerative conditions once found predominantly in the West are now ubiquitous, while health inequalities within nations—even affluent ones—are almost as striking as those which exist between them. This gradual shift reflects the emergence of a social structure that has global dimensions and of a distribution of power that is less geographically localized than in the age of empires. The response to health problems arising from global integration is also rather different. Although the organizations involved in public health and health care have always been diverse, the period from roughly 1850 to 1970 was

characterized by the growth of the state. Its increasing role in matters of health reflected a belief that government intervention ameliorated social inequalities and that the welfare of all classes was mutually dependent.<sup>204</sup> International organizations and agreements on health revealed a similar consensus. Though spurious in many respects, this internationalism brought real improvements and helped to stabilize the global economy.<sup>205</sup>

Today, there is no real consensus on how to deal with the health problems arising from global integration. Whereas previous generations looked primarily to the state, its role in the provision of health care is decreasing in rich and poor countries alike. While global threats to health appear to have increased, the authority of global institutions has not. Nevertheless, "global health" is an ideal that most governments, NGOs, and charitable foundations profess. Programs of global health exist in most major universities, and the subject's disciplinary identity is reflected in the titles of journals and monographs. All over the world, the young and idealistic are drawn to work in a field which appears to embody humanitarian ideals. But global health remains an elusive concept. It is not at all clear whether it is a noble aspiration or a new type of policy that transcends the concerns of nation-states.<sup>206</sup> Both of these meanings coexist in institutions such as the WHO, which sees its role as being to promote health as a human right as well as to protect humanity from universal threats. However, the mantle of global health often disguises other motives. The term frequently dignifies the pursuit of national interests, not simply the protection of borders but foreign health interventions that are designed primarily to secure economic and political objectives.

It would be unrealistic to expect otherwise, especially in view of the competitive pressures exerted by globalization. But global health can be more than an aggregation of interests. While public health has always been part of statecraft, it has also—since its inception—reflected a shared understanding of health as a common good.<sup>207</sup> For the past five centuries,

204. Abram de Swaan, In Care of the State: Health Care, Education, and Welfare in Europe and the USA in the Modern Era (New York: Oxford University Press, 1988).

205. Akira Iriye, *Global Community: The Role of International Organizations in the Making of the Contemporary World* (Berkeley: University of California Press, 2002).

206. J. P. Koplan, T. C. Bond, M. H. Merson, et al., "Towards a Common Definition of Global Health," *Lancet* 373 (2009): 1993–95; Theodore M. Brown, Marcos Cueto, and Elizabeth Fee, "The World Health Organization and the Transition from 'International' to 'Global' Health," *Amer. J. Pub. Health* 96 (2006): 62–72.

207. Quentin Skinner, The Foundations of Modern Political Thought. Volume I: The Renaissance (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1978), 42–46, 49–65; John Henderson, Piety and Charity in Late Medieval Florence (Oxford: Clarendon, 1994), 16–20, 354–59; Henderson, The Renaissance Hospital: Healing the Body and Healing the Soul (New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Press, 2006), 28–31. these ideas have been confined to the citizens and subjects of nation-states, but there are signs that our idea of the "common good" is becoming more inclusive, partly as a result of exposure to global threats.<sup>208</sup> Some aspects of health policy—particularly those grounded in a narrow conception of security—have inhibited the development of this new cosmopolitanism, so the way forward, perhaps, is to conceive of security in a different way: to recognize that it is social in nature, enforced by the state—yes—but based ultimately upon trust and mutual respect.<sup>209</sup> Just as public health provided the social glue that enabled nation-states to survive the turmoil of industrialization, global health may yet provide a shared identity that inspires trust and confidence between different nations and ethnicities.

\*

MARK HARRISON is professor of the history of medicine and director of the Wellcome Unit for the History of Medicine, University of Oxford. He is currently a visiting professor at several universities, including Peking University, Beijing and Kyung Hee University, Seoul.

208. As expressed variously in David Held, Global Covenant: The Social Democratic Alternative to the Washington Consensus (Cambridge: Polity, 2004); J. Waldron, "What Is Cosmopolitan?," J. Polit. Philos. 8 (2000): 227–43; Beck, World at Risk (n. 157), esp. chaps. 3 and 10. 209. Loader and Walker, Civilizing Security (n. 160), 258–64.